Responses to RFP Questions submitted prior to April 2, 2008, which were not previously answered, are provided below:

27. Question: "RFP Section L016 (a) requires the Offeror and any subtier contractors to comply with all 10 CFR 851, Worker Safety and Health Program (WSHP) requirements. L016 (d) states that the WSHP submittal requirement can be accomplished by either developing/submitting for approval a 10 CFR 851 complaint WSHP (Option 2) or by attesting to comply with the existing DOE/NNSA implemented site specific worker safety and health program (Option 1). L016 (f)(1) (Option 1) states that DOE/NNSA site's program must address the activities and hazards of your specific contract's statement of work. The contractor's WSHP must address complete integration with the DOE/NNSA site program and include items i-iv.

a Since the WSHP is required to be submitted with the proposal, how will the Offeror meet the requirements of Option 1 without tailoring a WSHP to address items (f)(1) i through iv?

b Will NNSA/NSO provide an electronic copy of the DOE/NNSA implemented site-specific worker safety and health program so that it can be tailored by the Offeror to address the required (f)(1) i-iv items?

c Is simply asserting that the Offeror agrees to comply with the DOE/NNSA implemented site-specific worker safety and health program and address items i through iv adequate to meet the Option 1 requirement to submit a WSHP that is compliant with 10 CFR 851?"

Response: See Amendment 001 which indicates that Option 1 is no longer applicable.

28. **Question:** "L016 (d) requires that we submit a WSHP compliant with 10 CFR 851. What volume of the proposal shall this program be submitted in? Would this plan be considered in the evaluation: If we choose Option 1 L016 (f), do the subcontractors have to submit their WSHP too or only the prime?"

Response: See Amendment 001, paragraphs 8 and 10 for how to submit the WSHP. Paragraph 13 of Amendment 001 indicates that Option 1 is no longer applicable.

63**. Question**: "The waste transportation, storage, and disposal process is not clear. Please summarize DOE's waste disposal process."

Response: . The ECRS contractor will be required to manage waste at the locations where they are working in accordance with applicable regulations and requirements. The contractor is required to characterize the waste and profile the waste to determine the correct disposal pathway. For work done on the NTS or for waste streams that will be disposed of on the NTS, the waste characterization and waste profile information would be provided to the M&O contractor. Once the waste determination is complete and approved by the M&O for disposal, the ECRS contractor would need to coordinate with the M&O contractor to have them load, transport and dispose of the waste. If the ECRS contractor work location is off the NTS, they would be responsible for

transport and disposal of the waste in accordance with applicable regulations and requirements. For radioactive waste disposed of on the NTS, the ECRS contractor would have to meet the requirements of the NTS Waste Acceptance Criteria.
(http://www.osti.gov/bridge/product.biblio.jsp?query_id=0&page=0&osti_id=850449).

Responses to questions received from April 2, 2008 through April 15, 2008

75. Question: "L010 General Instructions (b7), pg 8 – Text size shall be 12 point or larger. Can an exception be made for print type used in charts, graphics, figures and tables allowing the font size to be smaller than 12 point font, but must be clearly legible?"

Response: See response to Question #16 and Amendment 001.

76. Question: "L012, pg 11 Tab 1 Some elements discussed in the Scope of Work,(J-1) such as 3.1.2.3 Industrial Sites and 3.2 Program Support, are not specifically identified in the L012 Volume II instructions. Is it expected that all of the Scope of Work requirements would be discussed in Volume II, Tab one and included within the 50 page limit even though they are not expressly mentioned in Section L012?"

Response: L012 Tab 1, Criterion 1, (A) and (B) are subproject specific. (C) Project Management is intended to reflect all the activities in the Performance Work Statement.

77. Question: "L013 Proposal Preparation Instructions: Volume III – Cost Proposal Section (b) Specific Cost and Format Requirements (13) Other Financial Information requires in the submittal of (A) Audited financial statements and (B) Balance sheet and income statement. For a new Joint Venture or LLC type arrangement, should the Offeror provide these for each parent of the JV or LLC? Are these also required for each subcontractor?"

Response: Yes for both questions—see RFP Section L, L013, paragraph (a)(6), "In addition, each team member including subcontractors must provide separate proposal cover sheets, exhibits, summary schedules and supporting cost information in the same format and level of detail as required of Offerors under these cost instructions."

78. Question: "L013 Proposal Preparation Instructions: Volume III – Cost Proposal Section (b) Specific Cost and Format Requirements (6) Direct Materials/Supplies, Travel, and Government Furnished Property (GFP). When will the Government Furnished Property identified as Section J, Attachment 3 be made available?"

Response: The baselined amounts listed in the L013 citation for GFP represent equipment the Government estimates the ECRS contractor will have to purchase over the life of the awarded contract (contractor acquired property) to support the program. The Section J reference represents existing GFP from the predecessor contractor that will be subsequently transferred to the awardee and will be determined after the ECRS award. Offerors will be responsible for managing both during the performance of the contract.

79. Question: "L013 Proposal Preparation Instructions: Volume III – Cost Proposal Section (a) (3) Narrative Support requires “The Offeror, each team member including subcontractors shall provide narrative support sufficient to explain the development of the costs proposed.” However, is Section (b) Specific Cost and Format Requirements the specific location for this narrative support is not identified? Please indicate where you would like the narrative support included in the Cost Proposal."

Response: The narrative support should be logically located within the specific cost elements as laid out by the cost instructions. That is, in the Direct Labor Hours and Rates section Offerors should discuss the basis of estimate and methodologies used for this cost element, in the Indirect Rates section Offerors should discuss the basis of estimate and methodologies used for these cost elements, etc.

80. Question: "L013 Proposal Preparation Instructions: Volume III – Cost Proposal Section (b) Specific Cost and Format Requirements (6) Direct Materials/Supplies, Travel, and Government Furnished Property (GFP) Will a list of items included in Direct Materials/Supplies be provided so that the Offeror can determine what “other directly related contract costs not covered elsewhere” should be included in the requested exhibit under Section (8) Other Direct Costs (ODC)?"

Response: See response to question 46.

81. Question: "L013 (b) (5), pg 15 – Will the contractor have any liability related to assuming benefits plans of the incumbent contractor personnel?"

Response: No.

82. Question "Instructions to Offerors L012 (a) requires Offerors to describe characterization of radiologically contaminated soils. 3.1.2.1.1 in the PWS (page 6, 4th paragraph) states "This strategy encompasses CAU characterization, assessment, corrective action evaluation, and corrective action implementation." This statement implies that characterization and corrective action evaluation and corrective action implementation are separate activities. The response to question 18 implies that characterization includes the corrective actions evaluation activity. Can you please clarify if you want item An in L012 to include both characterization and corrective action evaluation? Can you please clarify if you are requesting to cover all 3.1.2.1 in the PWS, including 3.1.2.1.3?"

Response: The response to Question 18 is correct.

83. Question: "Section L016 Worker Safety and Health Program, page L-19 of 21, Instructions, paragraph (f). Option 1: Comply with DOE/NNSA implemented site specific worker safety and health program (developed to comply with 29 CFR 1960, Basic Program Elements for Federal Employee Occupational Safety and Health Programs (FEOSH) and Related Matters). Please note the NNSA SC ES&H representatives have determined that all contracts with performance at the NNSA SC complex may utilize the existing FEOSH program. -Can a copy of the existing FEOSH program be released for review?"

Response: The Government determined that Option 1 is not applicable. See Amendment 001.

84. Question: "Reference Section 3.2.6.3 of the Performance Work Statement, will DOE provide cost data by year for laboratory analyses?"

Response: See response to Question # 46.

85. Question: "How are third party costs for National Laboratory support to be accounted for in the cost proposal?"

Response: National Laboratory costs are accounted for under separate agreement(s) with NNSA.

86. Question: "Reference Attachment J-2, is a Transition Plan, Quality Assurance Plan, and Radiation Protection Plan required in the proposal?"

Response: See Amendment 001.

87. Question. "Will DOE modify the proposal submission requirements to allow for delivery of hard copies 7 calendar days following electronic upload to IIPS?"

Response: No. See Amendment 001. Hard copies are to be received at the identified address in the RFP by one (1) day following the submission in IIPS: NO LATER THAN 4:00 PM (Mountain Time) on May 14, 2008.

88. Question: "Is it acceptable to have a foreign-owned company as a niche specialty subcontractor performing unclassified work under this procurement?"

Response: Yes, if the unclassified work is being performed off-site.

89. Question "In order to provide a more complete technical approach to the PWS that demonstrates thorough understanding of the scope, would NNSA consider allowing bidders 75 pages instead of 50?"

Response: After careful consideration, an Amendment will be issued to increase the page limitation for Criterion 1, Technical Approach to 70 pages.

90. Question "We intend to submit a proposal as a fully integrated team, including a prime Offeror and integrated first-tier team partners. In this case must each firm participating on the team submit separate proposal cover sheets, exhibits, summary schedules and supporting cost information or can we provide one integrated cost and schedule?"

Response: RFP Section L, L013, paragraph (a)(6) states, "In addition, each team member including subcontractors must provide separate proposal cover sheets, exhibits, summary schedules and supporting cost information in the same format and level of detail as required of Offerors under these cost instructions." The Offeror's proposal should integrate the bottom-line prices of team members, but the specific cost information detail for each team member should be separate and distinct even if included within one over-arching volume of

the proposal, and as required by the RFP there should be separate proposal cover sheets for each team member.

91. Question "For a contract where the Offeror performs as a subcontractor, the Contracting Point of Contact familiar with our work may only be a member of the Prime Contractor and not a Government employee. In such situations can we substitute a second Technical Point of Contact for the Contracting Point of Contact?"

Response: Only one past performance questionnaire from the Technical Point of Contact is required if the Offeror performed in a subcontractor role and cannot obtain a past performance questionnaire from the Contracting Point of Contact.

92. Question "Is the existing NTS Site Wide Environmental Impact Statement being revised or updated? If it is being updated, when is the document scheduled to be completed and publically released?"

Response: The NTS Site-wide EIS is currently being evaluated pursuant to DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures. A Supplement Analysis is being prepared to document the results of that evaluation. The schedule calls for completion of the Supplement Analysis by September 30, 2008.

93. Question "Are there any M&O support personnel or others (i.e. current public involvement contractor, union staff, etc.) provided that will impact the ER estimate? Please provide a list of these disciplines or personnel types."

Response: Any construction-type activities need to be coordinated with the M&O contractor and the cost estimate should only include coordination costs and not the construction type activity costs.

94. Question "Is government going to provide a summary of what has been completed to date, status or percent completed, on the Government Fiscal Year 2009 activities or tasks that have been partially completed at the end of fiscal year 2008 (reference Section J, Attachment 1, Section 3.0 Specific Requirements, starting on Page 2, and the supporting documents Schedule for GFY2009 through GFY2013)?"

Response: Please propose to the PWS and documents included in the ECRS website, Reading Room.

95. Question "There appears to be slightly different project strategies between the Performance Work Statement and the FFACO (Section J). Should the proposed technical approach (Section L) follow the Performance Work Statement or the FFACO?"

Response: Insufficient information is given in the question to provide an adequate response as it is unclear what the questioner means by "slightly different project strategies." It is the Government's intent that the PWS meets the requirements of the FFACO.

96. Question "Is the government going to provide a funding profile by fiscal year for the RFP period of performance?"

Response: See response to Question 48.

97. Question "Is the government going to provide current revision reference copies of the following documents?

a. Worker Health and Safety Program
b. Quality Assurance Plan
c. Radiation Protection Program
d. ISMS Program Description Document"

Response: No.

98. Question: "Is [the] government going to provide a list of Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) currently used by the ER contractor?"

Response: See response to Question # 78.

99. Question: "Can the required Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs) be submitted by fax, E-mail, or FedEx."

Response: Yes, see page 4 of the Past Performance Questionnaire.

100. Question: "The sub-project Industrial Sites is not included in Section L012 Proposal Preparation Instructions: Volume II—Technical and Management Information. However it is shown in Attachment L-7 Staffing Plan for pricing. Also in M003 Evaluation Criteria, The contractor is impacted if the proposed technical approach and staffing plan is inconsistent. Is this sub-project to be included in the Volume II Technical and Management information?"

Response: See responses to Questions 21 & 23.

101. Question: "Section H043 Hazardous Wastes Manifests and Labels currently states: “Contractor shall not identify the DOE as the owner or generator of hazardous wastes on waste manifest or container labels or otherwise without written permission by the Contracting Officer, unless expressly and specifically permitted by the contract. “ Who is the waste generator?"

Response: For waste generated on the NTS the current protocol is to identify the M&O as an agent for DOE as the generator, since the M&O is responsible for transport and offsite disposal. If the contractor chooses to perform work off-site and generates hazardous waste, then they will identify themselves as the generator.

102. Question: "Page L-15 section 5 Indirect Rates subsection (A) include appropriate schedules showing prior years actual expenses ….. How many prior year actuals are needed?"

Response: One year.

103. Question: "To ensure consistency between electronic files and the hard copy deliverables, may the offer be submitted (Volume I&II) in Adobe Acrobat (.pdf) format?"

Response: See Amendment 001. The IIPS submission may be done in Adobe Acrobat (.pdf) format.

104. Question: "For Joint Ventures, may it be assumed that a maximum of three contracts per JV partner be submitted?"

Response: Yes. Submit no more than three contracts for each JV partner in accordance with L012.

105. Question: "Please provide a copy referenced 'Signature Page.'" [L016, Worker Safety and Health Program Instructions]

Response: An example signature page will be provided at the ECRS website.

106. **Question:** What would you consider the difference between "major" subcontractors required to submit a separate cost proposals, reps & certs, etc. and "minor" subcontractors not required to submit this information.

Response: The FAR does not define "major subcontractors" or "minor subcontractors." However, for purposes of the ECRS source selection the Government will consider any named team member a major subcontractor, or any team member whose service is critical and integral to the technical performance of the contract regardless of price. See RFP Section L, L013(b)(7) for additional information on subcontractor requirements.

107. Question: "L015 Instructions for submitting Foreign Ownership, Control or Influence (FOCI) Information:

a. Is DOE expecting FOCI information through FY07?
b. If a contractor/subcontractor is not a New User to the Electronic FOCI system and has already submitted this information to DOE within the past year for a previous DOE proposal, will we need to submit this electronically again?

Response: TBD

108. Question: "The requirement to describe project activities by year will be repetitious for those contracts that have the activities and task that occur each year over the life of the contract. In addition, there are contracts which are task order contracts that include multiple TOs per year of varying scope that makes it difficult to describe on a yearly basis.

We respectfully request that we be able to describe activities performed over a number of years (i.e. 2003 to present) to streamline the write up DOE."

Response: Based on additional input received at the Pre-Proposal Conference the response to Question #17 is changed to reflect the following: If discrete work activities spanned multiple years it is acceptable to describe them for that entire period of time as opposed to repeatedly describing the same information on a year-by-year basis. The period of performance for these activities should be clearly identified to enable the Government to evaluate currency and depth of experience (e.g., 2003 – 2005; 2003 – 2008, etc.) and the discussion should include

sufficient information for reviewers to determine timely progress of work activities. Work activities which had durations of less than one year, should be described by year.

109. Question: "Are past performance questionnaires due prior to or on the proposal due date? L012 (b) (4) states that questionnaires received 5 days after the due date may not be considered. Is there a 5-day grace period?

Response: Past performance questionnaires to the extent possible are due at the same time as the proposal. However, as stated in L012 of the RFP, "Receipt of the questionnaires by NNSA is not subject to the provisions of FAR clause 52.215-1…related to late proposals." The purpose of the statement that past performance information may not be considered if received more than 5 days after the closing date is to convey the Government's desire for timely evaluating all proposal information.

110. Question: "Are team members required to submit separate Volume I, Volume II, & Volume III proposals? For example, Volume I Tab 3(A) states "Each member of a teaming arrangement, including subcontractors, if proposed, must separately complete, sign, and submit the Section K…." or can we include team member's information in the prime Offeror's there proposal volumes?

Response: For Volumes I and II, each team members' information shall be submitted with the prime contractor's information. For Volume III, the subcontractor's information may either be included in the Offeror's Volume or may be separately submitted due to proprietary information (see RFP L009 (b) and response to Question 90).

111. Question: "From a proposal writing perspective, the worst thing that can happen, in terms of an extension, is to receive one late – if you are considering an extension, please announce it soon, so we can plan accordingly?"

Response: See Amendment 001.

112. Question: "Will past workload history/task order history/example task order is made available to mitigate incumbent's intrinsic advantage in staffing and costing data?

Response: No. The RFP and bidders' library (Reading Room on ECRS website) sufficiently describe the requirement for Offerors to propose. The Government requires Offerors to price the requirement accurately in accordance with their proposed approach.

113. Question: "Will there an extension to bring the due date into line w/the original draft (5/13)? If not, how quickly will questions be answered to enable adapting the proposal to the responses?

Response: See Amendment 001.

114. Question: "Where do you want us to address Program Support in narratives (Which Tab in Vol III) and which CLIN for costing (in Vol III)? How will be evaluated? Under Criteria #1?"

Response: If the question refers to the Basis of Estimate narratives, see the response to Question 26. In regards to "CLIN for costing," see Amendment 001. Also, see response to Question 23 in regards to how it will be evaluated.

115. Question: "Will costs be evaluated based on $/Hr as in T&M contracts, or based on total cost for each CLIN?

Response: See Section M, M004, "Cost Proposal," for a discussion on the cost/price evaluation.

116. Question: "Will there be any short-listing leading to ORALS?"

Response: No. Oral presentations are not being requested in response to this solicitation.

117. Question: "During the pre-proposal conference a question was asked about the requirement for team members and subcontractors to submit separate cost proposals. Based on the verbal response of NNSA to this question, it is apparent that the intent of the RFP is to allow team members and subcontractors to submit any cost information that is proprietary or business sensitive separately from the Offeror's proposal so that other companies do not have access to this data. While we understand the intent, the wording of the RFP as it is now does make separate submittals by team members optional nor does it allow them to separately submit only the information that they deem proprietary or business sensitive. Would NNSA revise the following statement in the RFP to make separate cost proposal submittals optional and to clarify that team members and subcontractors can submit only sensitive parts of the cost proposal if they so choose: "In addition, each team member including subcontractors must provide separate proposal cover sheets, exhibits, summary schedules and supporting cost information in the same format and level of detail as required of Offerors under these cost instructions.""

Response: See the response to Question 90. Also, RFP section L, L009(b) states, Subcontractors submitting proprietary information* may *[emphasis added] register in IIPS and submit their information separately identifying in the subject line, the solicitation number and to whom they are a subcontractor; or they may provide a password protected document (file) to the Prime Contractor and share the password with the Contracting Officer.

118. Question: "We respectfully request that NNSA re-evaluate the requirement to describe the nature and scope of past corporate experience projects by year performed. As stated in the evaluation criteria in Section M, corporate experience will be evaluated on the relevancy (similar in nature, size in dollars, and complexity) and depth of the experience as it relates to the PWS. As long as the project meets the timeframe requirements (completed within the last five years and in place for at least nine months), we believe that it is irrelevant in what year the project's specific tasks were performed. Because the year that the project tasks were performed will not be evaluated per Section M, we believe it will be more beneficial to the evaluators to describe the work by relevance to the PWS items rather than by year performed. This will result in a more in-depth description of the relevancy of the experience to the ECRS PWS."

Response: See Amendment 001 and response to question 108.

119. Question: Question 12, submitted by some other team, asks that a management plan be included in the RFP so team and staff organizations, plans to deal with work flow challenges, etc., can be evaluated as part of the procurement process. The answer states that a management plan will be required "15 calendar days after contract award." No discussion is given in the answer concerning whether such a plan will be required in the proposal. Including such a plan in the proposal seems a good idea. Is NNSA considering adding such a requirement?"

Response: No.

120. Question: "The answer to Question 20 has raised some confusion. The question asks whether 3 contracts can be submitted for each member of JV and LLC. The answer is that three contracts "may" be submitted. Are they required to be submitted or not?"

Response: See response to Question 104.

121. Question: "The answer to Question 21 concerning L012, Tab 1, C Project Management. is confusing and is referred to several times in the list of questions and answers. The questions themselves seem confusing, but all of the questions seem to be trying to discern whether this section is to address how all of the activities in the PWS, not included in the soils and UGTA subprojects, are to be both managed and performed. In other words, do we address UGTA, the soils project, and then under this heading, everything else in the PWS. Other questions seem to ask whether this is project management for the entire program or simply the Program Support activities. NNSA's response is that the section should "reflect all the activities in the Performance Work Statement." Does that mean that we are to include discussion of how we will perform such activities as Public Information and the Industrial Sites sub-project in this section. Or is NNSA simply asking how we will use our EVMS and other project management systems to track cost and schedule and to control the overall program?"

Response: The Offerors' response for L012, Tab 1, Criterion 1 (C) Project Management should address all the activities in the PWS.

122. Question: "Section L has a number of references to teaming members and subcontractors. Is the respective bidding entity required to submit Section L requested information for niche team members or subcontractors (less than $1M per annum in projected related costs)?

Response: See the response to Questions 90 and 106.

123. Question: "Section H-043 Hazardous Wastes Manifests and Labels currently states: "Contractor shall not identify the DOE as the owner or generator of hazardous wastes on waste manifest or container labels or otherwise without written permission by the Contracting Officer, unless expressly and specifically permitted by the contract. " We respectfully request a revision to Section H-043 to allow us to sign on behalf of the DOE/NNSA as follows: "When shipping and/or transporting DOE materials the shipping papers shall be executed by the CONTRACTOR on behalf of the DOE/NNSA and shall have "On behalf of U. S. Department of Energy/NNSA" on the signature line and "U. S. Department of Energy/NNSA in care of the CONTRACTOR" in the shipper/generator's name and mailing address section.""

Response: See response to Question 101.

124. Question: “How will Worker Safety and Health Program transition between prime contractors when work scope is transferred between different contracts?”

Response: Each new contract requires a new WSHP.

125. Question: "Regarding the Rainer Mesa/Shoshone Mountain Transport Model in the UGTA sub-project, page 10 of 15 of the schedule provided in the pertinent documents section of the website shows that the Contractor is to begin that effort in FY 2010 whereas page 4 of the PWS states the contractor is to begin that effort in FY 2013. Which date should the Offerors utilize?"

Response: Follow the schedule included in the ECRS website Reading Room (Pertinent Documents) for the Rainer Mesa/Shoshone Mountain Transport Model. An amendment will be issued to correct the PWS.

126. Question: "You provided in the pertinent document section of the website a copy of the baseline schedule which shows a certain amount of work completed by 10/1/08. Shall Offerors assume that work prior to the start date of this contract has progressed according to that schedule?"

Response: Yes, for purposes of this solicitation.

127. Question: "Clause H017 states that during contract performance the Government will provide office space for approximately 120 individuals. Further, paragraph (b) states that Contractor employees may have access to the Government computer systems.

a) Under this ECRS contract, is the contractor responsible to manage their own network/domain?
b) Is the NSO database managed under the NSO network/domain, or the contractor network/domain?
c) Does this ECRS scope include contractor hardware maintenance and upgrades?
d) Who is responsible for desktop technical support and help desk support for the contractor employees under the ECRS contract, the ECRS contractor or the Government?
e) Who is responsible for software maintenance and upgrades?
f) Does this ECRS contract include contractor network servers and workstations maintenance and upgrades?
g) Does this ECRS scope include contractor data communication and routing devices maintenance and upgrades?
h) Does this include contractor firewall security management, maintenance, and upgrades?
i) Is the ECRS contractor responsible for the Cyber Security implementation and planning?"

Response:

a) Yes

b) The contractor’s Network/domain.

c) Yes. Each year the hardware and software maintenance and/or upgrades required are submitted as part of the ADPE plan for DOE approval. If approved, the contractor does their own upgrades.

d) The contractor is responsible for its own support/help.

e) The contractor - see item c

f) Yes

g) Yes

h) Yes

i) Yes

128. Question: "What interval of time does NNSA plan between notification of selected Offeror and actual contract award?"

Response: The timeframe has not been determined.

129. Question: "The answer to question 71 indicates that PDFs of files are not to be submitted. However, some types if requested information -- for example, company annual reports, etc. -- may only be available in PDF. To reinvent this information in excel or word will be costly and divert resources from other elements of our proposal. Also, we have found that IIPS has difficulty accepting large files, by converting files to PDFs we can often reduce their electronic sizes. Will NNSA consider allowing at least some parts of our proposal to be submitted as PDFs?"

Response: See Amendment 001 which allows submission of documents in Pdf format in IIPS. In regards to submission of hard copies and copies on flash drive, CD, or DVD, pre-existing documents not specifically created in response to the RFP, such as company annual reports, may be submitted in PDF format, if not available in the format requested in the RFP.

130. Question: "Per Amendment 001, L016 (f)(3), are subcontractors required to submit a Worker Safety and Health Program and all accompanying documentation (such as OSHA 300-A forms) with the proposal submittal? Or is this to be provided after proposal award?"

Response: Subcontractors who will perform work on a DOE site (see contract Clause H047 for definition of "DOE Site") must submit the WSHP documentation including attachments in accordance with L016 to the Offeror for verification of compliance with the rule. The Offeror shall then submit its WSHP and the subcontractors' WSHP with its proposal.

131. Question: "It is likely that our file sizes for Volumes I, II, and III will exceed our mailbox limits when submitting to IIPS, even in .pdf format. Will folders be set up in IIPS according to volume, to allow for organization of files? Should files be grouped according to the tabs provided in the RFP?"

Response: If necessary, Offerors may separately submit each volume; all volumes must be submitted to meet the required proposal due date and time. Recommend that Offerors contact the IIPS helpdesk.

132. Question: "In view of page limitations and font size restriction, in the resumes of Key Personnel do City & State meet your requirement for address of the employing firm - or do you require a full mailing address?"

Response: Use entire mailing address if available.