

Pre-Solicitation Questions with Answers

Q#	Question	Answer
1	Could let us know what your interests are in keeping the current staff if another contractor wins?	This is a management decision of the vendor.
2	Will this RFP not be automatically posted in FedBizOpps?	When the RFP is issued, it will be posted on the FBO website through FedConnect.
3	Will this be a small business set-aside, and if so, what is the size standard?	Yes, \$7.0M
4	Who is the incumbent?	Systematic Management Services Inc
5	I would appreciate it if you would provide me with that person's name and contact information. My company is potentially interested in this re-compete and we are trying to obtain sufficient data so as to enable us to make an informed decision.	This information can be submitted using a freedom of Information request. The technical point of contact cannot disclose any information regarding the future contract source selection.
6	Is this new work?	This is a re-compete of a previous contract
7	Can you please tell me who is the incumbent and what is the name of the contract they are providing support under?	See Question 4 above. Emergency Operations Training Academy (EOTA) Support Services.
8	Will this reading room be a physical location, or will this be a forum online?	Online web address (Virtual Library)
9	Is there an update on the reading room?	Not at this time
10	Is the incumbent is qualified to bid because of his size?	No
11	The NAICS code you specify 541 which is under 500 people is that correct?	No. The NAICS code is 541611. The size standard is \$7.0M.

Solicitation (RFP) Questions with Answers

1	Is this a follow on requirement? If yes then who is the incumbent and what is the contract number?	Yes, Systematic Management Services, Current Contract Number: GS10F0012L/DE-AT52-07NA26901
2	Could you please let us know the number and kind of FTE's required to fulfill the tasks mentioned in the PWS if possible.	All information is contained in the RFP and in the pre Solicitation Documents in FedConnect and Federal Business Opportunities.
3	In reviewing the RFP dated December 22, there is reference to a site visit (Attachment 8, which provides a map), but I cannot find the date and time for the site visit. Please tell me the date and time of the site visit and if it is mandatory or not.	The site Visit information is contained in the RFP on or about page 73 of 78. This information can also be found in the Reading Room at http://www.doeal.gov/EOTA/default.aspx under the tab "Industry Day Information." Attending the Industry Day is not mandatory.
4	Good afternoon, I am interested in attending the pre proposal conference	All information is contained in the RFP and in the pre Solicitation

	<p>for the Emergency Operations Training and Support (EOTA) contract. Please send me further information on the where about of this conference. I have the time and date from GovWin, which is 1/6/12 at 9am. I look forward to speaking with you shortly.</p>	<p>Documents in FedConnect and Federal Business Opportunities. This information can also be found in the Reading Room at http://www.doeal.gov/EOTA/default.aspx under the tab "Industry Day Information."</p>
5	<p>The PWS, Attachment 1, (page 1 of 13) in the list of items under 2.0 Background, identifies "adjunct personnel" to augment the core staff, and "consultants" for short term or surge projects. This appears to contradict the descriptions in the CLINs. For example, CLIN 0002 specifies Consultant Staff as doing "...all things necessary for or incident to the technical requirements specified in the PWS..." (i.e. augmenting the core staff), while CLIN 0003 specifies for Adjunct Support Staff to "...do all things necessary for or incident to performing surge support for the technical requirements in the PWS". Please clarify the definitions of Adjunct Support Staff and Consultant Staff.</p>	<p>Consultant Staff – applies to the use of Subject Matter Experts (Consultants)." Adjunct Support Staff directly relate to the additional work that may need to be accomplish in a short time frame.</p>
6	<p>Page 52 of 78 (using Amendment #1 paging), Paragraph 1.C.(1). The second sentence implies that relevant Past Performance must be specifically in support of the EOTA, which would unfairly favor the incumbent. A more reasonable and clarified wording would be: "Relevant performance is performance of efforts involving support services that are similar or greater in scope, magnitude, and complexity to the effort described in this solicitation." Is this reworded statement correct?</p>	<p>Relevant performance is performance of efforts involving EOTA Support Services that are similar or greater in scope, magnitude, and complexity to the effort described in this solicitation which does not favor the incumbent contractor.</p>
7	<p>Page 74 of 78 (using Amendment #1 paging), Clause 16(a) requires the Worker and Safety Health Program to be submitted with the proposal. In which Volume should the WSHP be submitted? Also, is there a page count limit for the WSHP?</p>	<p>The WSHP can be an attachment. There is no page count to the WSHP however it should be complete enough</p>