

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

8



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND (AFMC)  
NUCLEAR WEAPONS INTEGRATION DIVISION

4 January 1995

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

FROM: SA-ALC/NWIW  
1651 First Street SE  
Kirtland AFB NM 87117-5617

SUBJECT: High Power Radio Frequency Phase 2 Study General Meeting 94-4 (#11) Minutes

1. Attached is a copy of the Minutes from the High Power Radio Frequency (HPRF) Phase 2 Study General Meeting 94-4 (#11) held 17 November 1994 at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore CA. If you have any questions or comments please call me at commercial (505) 846-6767 or my direct number of 846-4001, ext 208. DSN is 246-6767 or 246-4001, ext 208.

2. This letter is UNCLASSIFIED.

*Keith M Baird*  
KEITH M. BAIRD  
HPRF Study Director  
Weapons Management Branch

Attachment:  
HPRF Phase 2 Study General Meeting 94-4 (#11)  
Minutes, Including Distribution List

*Record Copy*

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~  
This material contains RESTRICTED DATA as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and shall not be disclosed, in whole or in part, to any person, administrative or contractual, who is not authorized to receive such information.

~~NOFORN~~  
No Release to foreign nationals

*Redacted Copy*  
UNCLASSIFIED

WITH ATTACHMENTS/ENCL

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1ST REVIEW DATE: 11/9/99	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBERS)
AUTHORITY: <input type="checkbox"/> DC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DD	1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED
NAME: <i>Jim Henry</i>	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
2ND REVIEW DATE: 11/1/99	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: <i>DB</i>	4. COORDINATE WITH: <i>DB</i>
NAME: <i>M. K. Bay</i>	5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
	7. OTHER (SPECIFY):

*QC Rev Comp 11/1/99*

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED  
MINUTES

HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY (HPRF)  
PHASE 2 STUDY GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (# 11)  
17 NOVEMBER 1994

Keith M. Baird  
HPRF Study Director

NUCLEAR WEAPONS MANAGEMENT BRANCH  
NUCLEAR WEAPONS INTEGRATION DIVISION  
SA-ALC NWIW (AFMC)  
1651 FIRST STREET SE  
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO 87117-5617

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Further dissemination only as directed by SA-ALC NWIW (AFMC) Nuclear Weapons Integration Division, Nuclear Advanced Concepts Branch, Kirtland Air Force Base New Mexico 87117-5617 (limitation applied OCT 92) or higher DOD authority.

WARNING

This document contains technical data whose export is restricted by the Arms Export Control Act (Title 22, U.S.C. Section 2751 et seq.) or Executive Order 12470. Violations of these export laws are subject to severe criminal penalties.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

Follow the destruction procedures in DOD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation, Chapter IX.

THIS PUBLICATION CONTAINS 248 PAGES  
COPY      OF 60 COPIES

~~SECRET - RESTRICTED DATA~~  
This material contains RESTRICTED DATA as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. Unauthorized disclosure subject to administrative and criminal sanctions.

~~NOFORN~~  
Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals

(This Cover is UNCLASSIFIED.) UNCLASSIFIED  
~~SECRET~~

# UNCLASSIFIED

## NOTICE TO ACCOMPANY THE DISSEMINATION OF EXPORT CONTROLLED TECHNICAL DATA

(This notice is unclassified)

1. Export of information contained herein, which includes, in some circumstances, release to foreign nationals within the United States, without first obtaining approval or license from the Department of State for items controlled by the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), or the Department of Commerce for items controlled by the Export Administration Regulations (EAR), may constitute a violation of law.

2. Under 22 U.S.C. 2778 the penalty for unlawful export of items or information controlled under the ITAR is up to 2 years imprisonment, or a fine of \$100,000, or both. Under 50 U.S.C., Appendix 2410, the penalty for unlawful export of items or information controlled under the EAR is a fine of up to \$1,000,000, or five times the value of the exports, whichever is greater; or for an individual, imprisonment of up to 10 years, or a fine of up to \$250,000, or both.

3. In accordance with your certification that establishes you as a "qualified U.S. contractor," unauthorized dissemination of this information is prohibited and may result in disqualification as a qualified U.S. contractor, and may be considered in determining your eligibility for future contracts with the Department of Defense.

4. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for direct patent infringement, or contributory patent infringement or misuse of technical data.

5. The U.S. Government does not warrant the adequacy, accuracy, currency, or completeness of the technical data.

6. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for loss, damage, or injury resulting from manufacture or use for any purpose of any product, article, system, or material involving reliance upon any or all technical data furnished in response to the request for technical data.

7. If the technical data furnished by the Government will be used for commercial manufacturing or other profit potential, a license for such use may be necessary. Any payments made in support of the request for data do not include or involve any license rights.

8. A copy of this notice shall be provided with any partial or complete reproduction of these data that are provided to qualified U.S. contractors.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

### HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 STUDY GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

(All portions of this Table of Contents are UNCLASSIFIED).

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
A. Administration .....	1
B. Executive Working Group Membership .....	1
C. Meeting Objectives .....	1
II. DISCUSSION TOPICS .....	2
A. Program Update .....	2
B. Security Classification .....	3
C. VWG to MAWG Input .....	3
D. VWG Input Limitations .....	4
E. Warhead Candidate Optimization .....	4
F. Requirements Working Group Report .....	6
G. Surety Working Group Report .....	6
H. Warhead Design Working Group Report .....	7
I. Systems Engineering Working Group Report .....	7
J. Vulnerability Working Group Report .....	8
K. Mission Analysis Working Group Report .....	10
III. ACTION ITEMS .....	12
A. Old Action Items .....	12
B. New Action Items .....	13
IV. NEXT MEETING .....	14

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

### HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 STUDY GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded)

(All portions of this Table of Contents are UNCLASSIFIED).

<u>APPENDICES</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A AGENDA .....	15
B LIST OF ATTENDEES .....	19
C EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP .....	23
D PROGRAM UPDATE .....	27
E VWG TO MAWG INPUT .....	35
F VWG INPUT LIMITATIONS .....	53
G WARHEAD CANDIDATE OPTIMIZATION .....	71
H HPRF UNIQUE ISSUES .....	89
I SURETY WORKING GROUP REPORT .....	99
J WARHEAD DESIGN WORKING GROUP REPORT .....	107
K SYSTEMS ENGINEERING WORKING GROUP REPORT .....	127
L VULNERABILITY WORKING GROUP REPORT .....	137
M MISSION ANALYSIS WORKING GROUP REPORT .....	151
DISTRIBUTION .....	235

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

### HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 STUDY GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

I. (U) INTRODUCTION. The High Power Radio Frequency (HPRF) Phase 2 Study General Meeting 94-4 (#11) was hosted by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), Livermore, California on 17 November 1994. Mr Keith Baird, HPRF Study Director, Nuclear Weapons Directorate, Nuclear Weapons Integration Division (NWI), chaired the meeting.

A. (U) Administration. Mr Baird welcomed the attendees and Dr Joe Sefcik, LLNL, provided administrative information. A special thanks to Dr Sefcik and LLNL for hosting the meeting. The meeting agenda and list of attendees are attached as Appendices A and B, respectively.

B. (U) Executive Working Group Membership. All members and observers or their representatives, except for the Det 10 Space and Missile Center, Ogden Air Logistics Center, SAF/AQQS (N), and Phillips Laboratory were present. Mr Karl Rueb, Department of Energy Albuquerque Operations Office (DOE AL) has retired and Mr Dennis Umshler is the new DOE/AL representative. Mr Al Baker has replaced Mr Joe Gazda, Headquarters Department of Energy, as an observer. Current members and observers, and their organizations are identified in Appendix C.

C. (U) Meeting Objectives. Mr Baird explained there were six objectives the study group needed to reach a consensus on during the meeting which are listed below.

1. (U) Warhead output parameters for required effectiveness.
2. (U) Target system vulnerability test data conclusions.

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

3. (U) Mission effectiveness analysis sufficient to demonstrate HPRF feasibility in all four mission areas.
4. (U) Time required to complete study based on above consensus.
5. (U) Working Group draft input dates for final report.
6. (U) Agency support to complete study.

## II. (U) DISCUSSION TOPICS.

A. (U) Program Update. Mr Baird addressed administrative program issues (Appendix D).

1. (U) Schedule/Milestones. The optimism of the HPRF Phase 2 Study Schedule/Milestones (Appendix D) is based on the Vulnerability/Mission Analysis Working Groups (VWG/MAWG) ability to finalize the warhead output parameters, the target system vulnerabilities, and the mission effectiveness analysis. A meeting will be held on 31 January 1995 at DOE AL to reach agreement between the VWG/MAWG on the effectiveness results and to allow each working group chairman to brief his input to the final report. On 1 February 1995 at DOE AL the HPRF Executive Working Group (EWG) will address overall study conclusions and recommendations. All draft working group final report inputs are due on 17 January 1995, except the Requirements Working Group (RWG) HPRF unique issues white paper and Warhead Design Working Group (WDWG) input due on 15 December 1994. The MAWG final report inputs may slip a week or two to the end of January 1995. The goal is to have the HPRF EWG members review the final report comments in March 1995, and to publish and distribute the report in April 1995. Briefings to various organizations will follow the final report distribution.

2. (U) Final Report Format. The final report format is shown in Appendix D. The working groups final report inputs will include: stand alone reports, overall evaluation of candidates, working group summaries, final report executive summary inputs, and

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

references and appendices. An action item was assigned to all working group chairmen to identify all documentation that supports working group inputs. The final report will be signed-off by each primary agency representative.

B. ~~SECRET~~ Security Classification. Mr Bill Barry, NWI, provided information associated with the HPRF security classification. A subgroup [Bill Plummer/Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), Phil Lange/Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Dave Brown/LLNL, Bill Barry/NWI, and John Bilski/Headquarters Defense Nuclear Agency] has been established to address the HPRF classification issue. Recommendations of the subgroup will be provided in December 1994. When classifying inputs to the final report working group chairman should be on the conservative side when in doubt.

DOE  
6(3) &  
DTRA  
USAF

C. ~~SECRET~~ VWG to MAWG Input

DOE  
6(3) &  
USA

The vulnerability data files take a system composed of various elements each of which has a fault tree comprised of a functional arrangement of initiators. The failure of each initiator is determined by the truth of the inequality stress to be greater than strength. The stress and strength models are based on the FAAT expert judgement data base.

DOE  
6(3)  
USA

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3)  $\frac{1}{2}$   
USAF

This is different than the FAAT methodology which estimates a nominal (50%) with upper (95%) and lower (5%) confidence bounds on the probability of failure CDF.

D. ~~SECRET~~ VWG Input Limitations.

USAF  
b(1)

presented the probabilistic nature of the decision making process and the uncertainties in relation to the HPRF Phase 2 Study (Appendix F).

DOE  
b(3)  $\frac{1}{2}$   
DTLA  $\frac{1}{2}$   
USAF

USAF  
b(1)

DOE  
b(3)  $\frac{1}{2}$   
DTLA  
 $\frac{1}{2}$  USAF

E. (U) Warhead Candidate Optimization.

presented a

USAF  
b(1)

methodology for evaluating HPRF warhead candidate optimization based on test data (Appendix G). A responsibility of the VWG is to provide vulnerability data on each of the modeled systems in each of the MAWG mission areas. The number of systems in the first mission area that are operative is quite large; furthermore, for most of the systems

of interest vulnerability data does not exist. Therefore, it has been necessary to rely on FAAT estimates for vulnerability inputs of most of the systems of interest. The combination of 1) the level of fidelity of the FAAT estimates and 2) the simplifications required to implement the FAAT estimates into the first mission analysis tool has led to a set of VWG inputs. These VWG inputs are believed to be sufficient to meaningfully discriminate between 1) no tactic, 2) current option, and 3) alternative options, but not to meaningfully discriminate between various alternative options. Another method is required that has a higher level of fidelity and detail without simplification in order to discriminate between alternative options. What is required is an evaluation/optimization method based on detailed data sets. Since detailed data sets do not exist for most systems in the MAWG missions, it is necessary to select data sets, while of military interest, are not closely tied to the MAWG missions.

(U) Having reached this conclusion, it is now necessary to determine 1) the analytic method for candidate optimization and 2) the data sets to be incorporated in the analysis. The briefing presented by Dr Bernardin proposes both an analytic method for optimization and a process by which to select appropriate data sets. Brief descriptions of these two facets follow.

(U) The method for candidate optimization involved convolving selected waveforms with low-level vulnerability transfer functions. This method was used during the previous phase of this study. It has been widely published (see reference list in Appendix G), and is accepted as a credible method for candidate optimization. Its principal strength is being based on measured data.

USAF  
b(1)

However, this weakness does not preclude usage for candidate optimization. Candidate optimization requires only relative comparisons of the associated stresses, not absolute evaluations (where nonlinearities must be treated). Furthermore, at least in theory, high-level testing results can be used to provide for corrections to the low-level data-based analysis.

(U) As presented by a selection criterion based on characteristic points of entry was used to derive the basis set for which low-level test data would be required. The actual data sets incorporated into the basis set can be obtained from the agencies that conducted various vulnerability testing. The waveforms to be used correspond to the existing candidate, the various alternative candidates proposed by LANL and LLNL, as well as hypothetical candidates selected to take advantage of identified vulnerabilities determined during the course of the analysis. The analysis can also account for a variety of candidate orientations. Three action items were assigned in support of the warhead candidate optimization effort.

**F. (U) Requirements Working Group Report.**

presented a summary of the topics addressed at the RWG meeting held 15 November 1994. The HPRF Study Director requested the RWG provide comments in the Military Characteristics (MCs) addressing specific issues that were pertinent to the HPRF program during development of the MCs. The MC comments and draft Stockpile-to-Target Sequence will be addressed at a meeting on 7-8 December 1994 at ORION International Technologies, Inc. in Albuquerque, NM. The HPRF unique issues have been finalized and will be documented in the HPRF Phase 2 Study final report. The final list of unique issues is provided in Appendix H.

USAF  
b(1)

DOE  
b(3);  
USAF

**G. (U) Surety Working Group Report.**

presented a summary of the activities addressed at the 16 November 1994 SWG meeting which included operational safety, use control, dispersal of materials, and nuclear detonation safety (Appendix I). The operational and dispersal safety analyses are essentially complete.

USAF  
b(1)

DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

Preliminary analyses have been completed for use control and nuclear detonation safety evaluations. Most, if not all of the required inputs from the Systems Engineering Working Group (SEWG) have been received. The remaining input to be received is from the California team designating use control implementations for their

warhead candidates from a large matrix of alternatives. The SWG format for the final report, and the schedule for completing evaluations and writing and reviewing the final report were discussed and finalized. Completion of SWG evaluations and draft SWG final report inputs are due to the SWG Chairman by 15 December 1994. An SWG meeting will occur on 11 January 1995 to review and finalize draft inputs to the final report.

H. (U) Warhead Design Working Group Report [redacted] presented a summary of the topics addressed at the 15 November 1994 WDWG meeting.

USAF  
b(1)

DOE

b(3) &  
USAF

It was suggested that the SEWG coordinate a naming convention for the warhead design candidates to be used in the final report inputs.

I. (U) Systems Engineering Working Group Report [redacted]

USAF  
b(1)

presented a summary of the topics addressed at the 16 November 1994 SEWG meeting (Appendix K). Topics discussed at the meeting included New Mexico and California design updates, and MK5 platform considerations. The design teams continue to wrap up the details of their respective candidates. At this time there are no identifiable obstacles that would preclude meeting the working group deadline of producing a draft systems engineering report by mid-January 1995. The benefits of including a "candidate attributes chart" which summaries the key features of each of the designs was discussed and approved. The list of key features was developed and a draft prepared. In addition to the chart a one page narrative for each of the candidates was recommended and approved. The individual design teams are responsible for their candidate narratives and were reminded that paragraph classification markings are required. The SEWG peer review process is underway. Design information has been exchanged. Once the critiques and rebuttals have been submitted, a presentation to the SEWG and SWG Chairmen will be arranged. The results and design information will be included in the SEWG report.

~~SECRET~~

1. (U) **New Mexico Design Update.** The engineering effort is proceeding on schedule. The status of the NM-3 design was presented with details of the mounting and support features described.

DOE  
b(3)

3. ~~SECRET~~ **MK5 Platform Considerations.** The details of incorporating HPRF warhead designs into a MK5 aeroshell are documented in Appendix K.

DOE  
b(3)  
DTRA  
USAF

J. (U) Vulnerability Working Group Report.

presented a summary of the activities addressed at the 15 November 1994 VWG meeting (Appendix L).

USAF  
b(1)  
DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

The VWG input limitations and warhead candidate optimization write-ups were presented in paragraphs II.D and II.E respectively.

USAF  
b(1)

1. (U)

DOE  
b(3)

USAF  
b(1)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

The HPRF Study Director requires inputs by 15 January 1995, but the work yet to be done by Drs Bernardin and Kaufman will still be in process. It was agreed that portions of the initial report input will be incomplete pending the results of work which is still underway.

USAF

DOE  
b(3) &  
DTRA

DOE  
- b(3)

4. (U) Warhead Output Characteristics. Mr Baird, HPRF Study Director, stressed the importance of obtaining VWG consensus on the warhead output characteristics required to achieve the desired HPRF effectiveness. It was also emphasized to obtain VWG

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

consensus on the conclusions that can be drawn from the test data base for target systems.

**K. (U) Mission Analysis Working Group Report.**

presented a summary of topics addressed at the 16 November 1994 MAWG meeting (Appendix M).

USAF  
b(1)

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

The VWG to MAWG input

write-up was presented in paragraph II.C.

DOE  
b(3) &  
DTRA  
USAF


~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3) &  
DTRA

DOE  
b(3)

F  
A  
S  
U



DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3)

U  
S  
A  
F

DOE  
b(3) &  
DTNA

III. (U) ACTION ITEMS. Four action items were closed from previous meetings and two remain open. Five new action items were assigned as a result of this meeting.

A. (U) Old Action Items.

1. (U) 7-5. Assigned to the WDWG

\_\_\_\_\_ LLNL has performed the calculations. Layouts or designs are consistent with system engineering designs. LLNL will provide the data at the 18-19 October 1994 meeting at FCDNA. The data was provided at the meeting. This action item is closed.

DOE &  
USAF  
b(3)

2. (U) 94-1-1. Assigned to the VWG and WDWG.

This action item is still being worked. Suspense: 17 January 1995.

DOE &  
USAF  
b(3)

~~SECRET~~

## UNCLASSIFIED

3. (U) 94-2-4. Assigned to DOE ALWPD. Provide proposed draft write-up on "Exercising the new DOE production complex" for the HPRF Final Report. Provide this draft write-up to the HPRF Study Director. The draft write-up is in review at DOE AL and will be provided to the HPRF Study Director in early October 1994. DOE AL has provided the write-up. This action item is closed.

4. (U) 94-3-1. Assigned to EWG Membership. Review and provide comments/additions on accuracy and completeness of draft HPRF Security Classification Guide to HPRF Study Director. A subgroup made up of SNL, LANL, LLNL, NWI and FCDNA has been formed to review guide. **Suspense: 15 December 1994.**

5. (U) 94-3-2. Assigned to Working Group Chairmen. Review the list of unique issues being documented by the RWG and provide comments/updates/additions to RWG Chairman. The unique issues have been reviewed and a final list is provided in Appendix H. This action item is closed.

6. (U) 94-3-3. Assigned to SEWG Chairman and Kaman Sciences Corporation. USSTRATCOM wants to know if HPRF warhead designs could fit into the MK5 aeroshell from purely a weight and volume standpoint. Also list issues to be addressed if designs fit. The response to this action item is in Appendix K. This action item is closed.

### B. (U) New Action Items.

1. (U) 94-4-1. Assigned to Working Group Chairmen. Identify all documentation that supports working group inputs to include that published as part of the study as well as that referenced in either the final report or supporting reports. **Suspense: With final report inputs.**

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

3. (U) 94-4-3. Assigned to LANL, Dr Calahan. Visit study test agencies to obtain appropriate sets of transfer functions for each test item for use in candidate optimization evaluation. Suspend: 6 January 1995.

4. (U) 94-4-4. Assigned to LANL, Dr Bernardin. Prepare quantitative results of candidate performance using each candidates output and transfer functions from action item 94-4-3 to determine candidate performance. Suspend: 13 January 1995.

DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

Suspend: 15 December 1994 to RWG

Chairman.

IV. (U) NEXT MEETING. The last scheduled HPRF Phase 2 Study General Meeting will be on 31 January 1995 at DOE AL. Each working group chairman will be given one hour to brief his final report input. There will be an HPRF EWG meeting on 1 February 1995 at DOE AL to address overall study conclusions and recommendations.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX A  
AGENDA**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

(This page is intentionally left blank.)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

*MMMM*

AGENDA

HPRF PHASE 2 STUDY GROUP GENERAL MEETING 94-4  
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
17 November 1994

USAF  
b6

UNCLASSIFIED

*MMMM*

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX B  
LIST OF ATTENDEES**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

HPRF Mtg 94-4 Attendance 17 Nov 94 @ LLNL

Agency	Rank	First Name	Last Name	DSN	Phone #	FAX #	City or Base	ST	ZIP Code
<b>Dept of Defense</b>									
USSTRATCOM/J533	Mr	Stan	Gooch	271-5	294-5254	294-6148	Offutt AFB	NE	68113-6500
FCDNA/FCPRA	Capt	Skip	Langbehn	246-5	846-8584	846-8611	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5616
FCDNA/FCPRA	Capt	John	Warzinski	246-5	846-8575	846-8611	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5616
<b>US AIR FORCE</b>									
HQ AFSPC/DOXN	Capt	Kirk	Dickenson	692-5	554-3776	554-5079	Peterson AFB	CO	80914-4120
HQ AFSPC/DOXN	Maj	John T.	Valverde	692-5	554-5079	554-5354	Peterson AFB	CO	80914-4120
SA-ALC/NWIW	Mr	Keith	Baird	246-5	846-6767	846-4618	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5617
SA-ALC/NWIO	Mr	William R.	Barry	246-5	846-5535	846-4618	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5617
SA-ALC/NWIW	Mr	Frank	Carrillo	246-5	846-6767	846-2038	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5617
SA-ALC/NWIW	Mr	Daniel	Granados	246-5	846-6767	846-2038	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5617
SA-ALC/NWIE	LtCol	Roger	Kropf	246-5	846-9575	846-4618	Kirtland AFB	NM	87117-5617
<b>US ARMY</b>									
US ARMY ARDEC	Mr	Philip	Angelotti	880-5	724-5451	724-2375	Picatinny Arsnl	NJ	07806-5000
US Army ARDEC	Mr	Donald	Huie	880-5	724-5472	724-5461	Picatinny Arsnl	NJ	07806-5000
ARL/AMSRL-WT-ND	Dr	Christopher	Kenyon	356-3	394-3060	394-2525	Adelphi	MD	20783-2800
<b>Dept of Energy</b>									
DOE/HQ, DP-12	Mr	Alvin	Baker		903-8455	903-5658	Germantown	MD	20874-5000
DOE/AL-WPD	MS	Cheryl	Stivers		845-6386	845-5188	Albuquerque	NM	87185-5400
<b>Los Alamos Nat Labs</b>									
LANL/X-5	Dr	Michael	Bernardin		667-1439	665-7725	Los Alamos	NM	87545-2345
LANL	Mr	Troy	Eddleman		667-6811		Los Alamos	NM	87544
LANL	Mr	John	Hutchinson		665-3665	665-2017	Los Alamos	NM	87545-2345
LANL	Dr	Ronald	McFee		667-1682	665-2227	Los Alamos	NM	87545-2345
LANL/NWT	Mr	Thomas	Scheber		665-0045	665-2213	Los Alamos	NM	87545-2345
LANL	Mr	Ed	Whitted		665-0038	665-2017	Los Alamos	NM	87545-5000

# UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
 HPRF Mtg 94-4 Attendance 17 Nov 94 @ LLNL

Agency	Rank	First Name	Last Name	DSN	Phone #	FAX #	City or Base	ST	ZIP Code
<b>Livermore Nat Labs</b>									
LLNL/L-125	Dr	Charles	Chow		422-4639	423-4097	Livermore	CA	94550-0808
LLNL/L-81	Dr	Al	Kaufman		422-1599	423-0708	Livermore	CA	94550-0808
LLNL/L-153	Dr	Mike	Ong		422-0206	423-5080	Livermore	CA	94550-0808
LLNL/L-13	Dr	Joe	Sefcik		423-0671	423-0925	Livermore	CA	94550-0808
<b>Sandia National Labs</b>									
SNL(NM)	Mr	Jerry	Adams		844-3668	844-2189	Albuquerque	NM	87185-0482
SNL(NM)	Dr	Roger	Breeding		844-1532	844-8867	Albuquerque	NM	87185-0405
SNL(NM)	Dr	Jerry	Cuderman	244-8	844-8063	844-8745	Albuquerque	NM	87185-0482
SNL(NM)	Mr	Kazuo	Oishi	244-8	844-0159	844-8745	Albuquerque	NM	87185-0482
SNL(NM)	Mr	William J.	Tedeschi		845-9851	844-8745	Albuquerque	NM	87185-0482
<b>SNL(CA)</b>									
SNL(CA)	Dr	Jim	Hogan		294-2853	294-1015	Livermore	CA	94550-0969
<b>CONTRACTORS</b>									
Logicon/RDA	Dr	Phil	Castillo		842-8156	242-4121	Albuquerque	NM	87119-5000
Logicon/RDA	Mr	William	Kehrer		842-8156	242-4121	Albuquerque	NM	87119-5000
TRW, 953/1140	Dr	Mike	Papay		382-8492	382-2000	San Bernardino	CA	92402-1310
Orion International Te	Mr	Mike	Rafferty		881-2500	881-5060	Albuquerque	NM	87110-6501
Kaman Sciences	Mr	Dick	Wallner		599-1958	599-1420	Colorado Springs	CO	80933-7463

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX C**  
**EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ UNCLASSIFIED  
*MMMM*

HPRF Phase 2 Study  
Executive Working Group Membership

USAF  
b(1)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX D  
PROGRAM UPDATE**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**SCHEDULE/MILESTONES**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## HPRF Phase 2 Study Milestones

Milestone	Date	Remarks
<b>Study Group Meetings</b>		
LLNL Mtg 94-4 (#11)	11/17/94	Livermore, CA
DOE/AL Mtg 95-1 (#12)	1/31/95	Albuquerque, NM
AF NWI EWG Mtg	3/15/95	Final Report Review Comments
<b>Working Group Milestones</b>		
<b>RWG Milestones</b>		
Unique Issues White paper	12/15/94	
Final Draft MCs & STS to Study Director	1/17/95	
<b>SWG Milestones</b>		
Draft SWG Report to Study Director	1/17/95	
<b>WDWG Milestones</b>		
Draft WDWG Report to Study Director	12/15/94	
<b>SEWG Milestones</b>		
Draft SEWG Report to Study Director	1/17/95	
<b>VWG Milestones</b>		
Draft VWG Report to Study Director	1/17/95	
<b>MAWG Milestones</b>		
Draft MAWG Report to Study Director	1/17/95	
<b>Final Report</b>		
VWG/MAWG Report Input Discussions	1/31/95	Mtg 95-1 @ DOE/AL
Distribute Draft Study Group Report for cmts	2/15/95	
Review Final Report Comments	3/15/95	Mtg of EWG @ NWI, Kirtland AFB
Publish & Distribute Final Report	4/14/95	
<b>Final Briefings</b>		
SAF/AQQ	4/18/95	
NWCSC	4/19/95	
HQs DOE	4/19/95	
AFSPC	4/25/95	
USSTRATCOM	4/26/94	

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**FINAL REPORT FORMAT**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## HPRF Phase 2 Study Report Format

### Executive Summary

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Background
- 1.2 Tasking
- 1.3 Navy participation
- 1.4 Study Organization
- 1.5 Scope of Study

#### 2. Related Issues

- 2.1 START Implications
- 2.2 Warhead Certification
- 2.3 DODD C3150.7 "Controlling the Use of Nuclear Weapons" 6/20/94
- 2.4 Maintaining the Nuclear Technological Base
- 2.5 Exercising the DOE Production Facilities

#### 3. Mission Effectiveness Analysis & Assessment

- 3.1 Mission 1
- 3.2 Mission 2
- 3.3 Mission 3
- 3.4 Mission 4
- 3.5 Mission 5

#### 4. Vulnerability Testing, Analysis & Assessment

- 4.1 High Level Tests
- 4.2 Low Level Tests & Data Extrapolation
- 4.3 FAAT Analysis

#### 5. Warhead Candidates

- 5.1 California Designs Descriptions
- 5.2 New Mexico Designs Descriptions
- 5.3 Joint CA/NM Design Description
- 5.4 DOE Engineering Trade Studies
- 5.5 Peer Review

#### 6. System Engineering

- 6.1 MIII Delivery System Interface
- 6.2 RV/Warhead design options
- 6.3 IAF&F
- 6.4 Packaging
- 6.5 Use Control

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## 7.0 (U) Nuclear Surety

### 7.1 (U) Introduction

#### 7.1.1 (U) Requirements

#### 7.1.2 (U) Special Concerns and Unique Issues

#### 7.1.3 (U) Approach

### 7.2 (U) Nuclear Detonation Safety

#### 7.2.1 (U) Introduction- Approach

#### 7.2.2 (U) Warhead Candidate Evaluation

### 7.3 (U) Operational Safety

#### 7.3.1 (U) Introduction- QFD Approach, Evaluation Criteria & Definitions

#### 7.3.2 (U) Warhead Candidate Evaluation

### 7.4 (U) Nuclear Material Dispersal

#### 7.4.1 (U) Introduction- Approach, Evaluation Criteria

#### 7.4.2 (U) Warhead Candidate Evaluation

### 7.5 Use Control

#### 7.5.1 (U) Introduction- Approach, Evaluation Criteria

#### 7.5.2 (U) Warhead Candidate Evaluation

### 7.6 (U) Conclusions and Overall Evaluation of Candidates

## 8. Requirements

### 8.1 Tentative Mission Needs Statement

### 8.2 Military Characteristics

### 8.3 Stockpile-to-Target Sequence

### 8.4 Unique Issues

## 9 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 9.1 Conclusions/Discussion

### 9.2 Weapon Output

### 9.3 Vulnerability Analysis

### 9.4 Mission Analysis

### 9.5 Recommendations

## References

FAAT Report

Vulnerability Report

Mission Analysis Report

# UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*MMW*

Glossary

Appendices

A Tasking Letters

DOE

↳ (B) &

C Testing Plan

USAF

D Military Characteristics

E Stockpile-to Target Sequence

F Vulnerability Final Report

G Mission Analysis Final Report

H Major Impact Report (or Executive Summary of MIR)

Distribution

UNCLASSIFIED

*MMW*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX E**  
**VWG TO MAWG INPUT**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6.32

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *SECRET*

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED  
*SECRET*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6/3  
1  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

*Attn*

DOE

2/31

UNCLASSIFIED

*Attn*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
6/3/88  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*ADMIN*

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*ADMIN*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ **MMW**

DOE  
6/3) &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ **MMW**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6/31  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*[Handwritten signature]*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *MMW*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED  
*MMW*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *MMW*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
⋮  
US A i

UNCLASSIFIED

*MMW*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*MMW*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*MMW*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~MMU~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
LBI  
f  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~MMU~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *AMM*

DOE  
L/B  
1/4  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

*AMM*

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX F**  
**VWG INPUT LIMITATIONS**

**UNCLASSIFIED** :

**UNCLASSIFIED**

54

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

54

*Army*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*Army*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ **AMMM**  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

**AMMM**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*Amem*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*Amem*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *Admin*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*Admin*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*AMM*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*AMM*

~~MMMA~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~MMMA~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *swm*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b. (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*swm*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

Doc  
6 (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b (3)

*MAAM*

UNCLASSIFIED

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

**AMMM**

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

**AMMM**

~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6 (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

USAF

*Man with*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE

b (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*Man with*

-USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*[Handwritten signature]*

**UNCLASSIFIED**

(This page is intentionally left blank.)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX G**  
**WARHEAD CANDIDATE OPTIMIZATION**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

(This page is intentionally left blank.)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~SECRET~~

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF 16 PAGES

X-5-94-510

11/22/94 Pg 1

On HPRF Candidate Optimization (U)

M. Bernardin, K. Calahan, et al

Los Alamos National Laboratory, et al

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6(3) §  
USAF

IER

LOS ALAMOS



~~SECRET~~  
RESTRICTED DATA  
This document contains Restricted Data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. It is not to be disseminated outside the limits of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF

DOE

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
(S)

7  
DOE,  
USAF

~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF - entire page

~~SECRET~~

DOE

(b)

3

USAF

DOE

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

*MM*

DIE  
131  
USAF

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*MM*

*MM*

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

**SECRET**  
**TOP SECRET**

DOE  
1(3) &  
USAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**SECRET**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**SECRET**

USAF

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
A/S  
1/4  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

14-00001 0-7

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE

6/30/84

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*mmmm*

*mmmm*

UNCLASSIFIED

*mmmm*

*mmmm*

**AWAM**

DOE

2 (3) 4

USAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**AWAM**

**AWAM**

1/22/94 5-0

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**ASATT**

DOE

b(3) &

DTRA &

USAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**ASATT**

**ASATT**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~SECRET~~

DOE 16 1/2 USA

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
1/31/94  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
2 (3)  
1  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

*SECRET*

*SECRET*

UNCLASSIFIED

DO  
b(3)  
&  
USA

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
6(3)  
- 1  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF

DOE  
b(3)

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

11221  
-0-94-010

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX H  
HPRF UNIQUE ISSUES**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

*AMM*

8.4 (U) UNIQUE ISSUES

(U) The Joint HPRF Phase 2 Study has shown that there are issues unique to the HPRF WXX warhead when compared with other typical warheads. In writing the Military Characteristics and Stockpile-To-Target Sequence documents, it became very apparent to the Requirements Working Group that these issues needed to be documented during Phase 2 and reviewed during Phase 2A of the program.

8.4.1 (U) STOCKPILE SAMPLING

8.4.1.1 (U) SYSTEMS EVALUATION. For any typical weapon system, the Department of Energy (DOE) and its Laboratories plan for and develop a Systems Evaluation Program (SEP). The SEP consists of testing newly built weapons (New Material Testing) and weapons withdrawn from the stockpile (Stockpile Testing). Both flight and laboratory tests are normally conducted.

USAF

(U) The current stockpile sampling program removes 1/2 of a 90/90 sample every year. The 90/90 sample quantity is determined by the size of the population using a hypergeometric distribution.

DOE  
b(3)

Of course over 20 years, weapon

components and aeroshells will be consumed for testing and must be replaced, but compared to the initial build, the material to support sampling is small.

DOE  
b(3)

ISAF

DOE  
b(3)

(1) (U) It is still believed to be important to select some samples for testing in environments and/or flight.

(2) (U) To assure high reliability, it is important to consider design features that will accommodate testing at the users facilities. This may be implemented as: built-in test capability, or field testing rather than testing at Pantex.

(3) (U) Increasing design redundancy by installing redundant components in the weapon or by redundant targeting may help meet the reliability objectives for the mission.

(4) (U) Use of components (or modules) that are common with other systems, and tested in the other systems, may improve reliability.



UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DTN/A  
b(1)

DOE

USAF

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

8.4.7 (U) TRITIUM

ST-2

DOE  
b(3)  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DTNA

DOE  
X3  
1  
USA

**8.4.8 (U) INTEGRATED ARMING, FUZING AND FIRING (AF&F)**

(U) The AF&F is a DoD responsibility. An integrated AF&F is a concept being considered by the Air Force. An integrated AF&F concept would have to be fully documented (i.e. responsibility, definition, etc.).

**8.4.9 (U) WARHEAD LIFETIME**

(U) Assuming a Stockpile Improvement Program for the W78 or W87 is accepted, the life expectancy of the components within the existing systems will have to be reviewed.

**8.4.10 (U) MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS (MOEs)**

USAF

DOE  
b(3)  
DTRA

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3) §  
DTRA  
§  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
E(3)  
USAF

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX I**  
**SURETY WORKING GROUP REPORT**

---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**Surety Working Group Report for HPRF Meeting 94-4 (11)**

USAF  
b(1)

The Surety Working Group met on November 16. The agenda is attached. The status of evaluation of Operational Safety, Use Control, Dispersal of Materials, and Nuclear Detonation Safety was reviewed. Most, if not all of the required inputs from the Systems Engineering Group has been received. The main remaining required input is for the CA team to designate Use Control implementations for their warhead candidates from a large matrix of alternatives.

The Operational Safety and Dispersal Safety analyses are essentially complete. Preliminary analyses have been completed for Use Control and Nuclear Safety.

The format for the final SWG report and the schedule for completing evaluations and writing and reviewing the final report were discussed and finalized. The schedule agreed upon is as follows:

- (1) Completion of both evaluation and SWG final report inputs (hardcopies and discs to be delivered to SWG Chairman--- No Later than December 15,
- (2) Compile Draft and Distribute to SWG Members: No Later than December 23, and
- (3) SWG Meeting to Review and Finalize Draft --- January 11.

# Surety Working Group Mtg. HPRF Meeting 94-4 (11)

- **Time, Date, Location**
  - 1:00 PM, November 16, 1994
  - LLNL, Livermore, CA-- Bldg 121/Rm 1048 (Ibex Room)
- **Agenda**
  - Introduction Cuderman
  - ♦ Status of SWG Efforts
  - ♦ Final Report Format and Schedule
  - Use Control Analyses Moore
  - Dispersal Analyses Breeding
  - Nuclear Safety Evaluation Pedersen
  - Intermediate Meeting All

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

# Status of Surety Evaluations

- All Evaluation Procedures and Processes Complete
- All Data Required for Evaluations Now Complete
  - Operational Safety
  - Dispersal Safety
  - Nuclear Detonation Safety
  - Use Control
- Draft Evaluations Presented at SWG Mtg.
- Final Evaluations Review At Interim Mtg.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE

6131 f

DT2A

f

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~  
DOE  
b(3) f  
DTRA  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FORMAT FOR HPRF PHASE 2 SURETY FINAL REPORT INPUTS

### 7 Nuclear Surety

#### 7.1 Introduction (Cuderman)

##### 7.1.1 Requirements

##### 7.1.2 Special Concerns and Unique Issues

##### 7.1.3 Approach

#### 7.2 Nuclear Detonation Safety (Pedersen)

##### 7.2.1 Introduction-- Approach, Evaluation Criteria

##### 7.2.2 Safety Themes(Complete version)

##### 7.2.2 Warhead candidate Evaluation

#### 7.3 Operational Safety( Cuderman)

##### 7.3.1 Introduction--QFD Approach, Evaluation Criteria & Definitions

##### 7.3.2 Warhead Candidate Evaluation

#### 7.4 Nuclear Material Dispersal (Breeding)

##### 7.4.1 Introduction- Approach, Evaluation Criteria

##### 7.4.2 Warhead Candidate Evaluation

#### 7.5 Use Control (Moore)

##### 7.5.1 Introduction-- Approach, Evaluation Criteria

##### 7.5.2 Use Control Themes (SRD)

##### 7.5.3 Warhead Candidate Evaluation

#### 7.6 Conclusions and Overall Evaluation of Candidates (All)

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX J**  
**WARHEAD DESIGN WORKING GROUP REPORT**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*AAAAA*

UNCLASSIFIED

7

DOE  
b(3) &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*AAAAA*

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
DTRA  
&  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
L(7) &  
DTRA  
&  
US AF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
bD)  
DTR.  
E  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
(3)  $\frac{1}{2}$   
DTRA  
 $\frac{1}{2}$   
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
2/3) &  
DTRA &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ ~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
W(3) §  
DTRA  
§  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~Secret~~ UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6/3) &  
DTORA  
&  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE (S) W 457 USAF M (S)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

DOE

1(3) f

DTRA f

/SAF

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE f  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

DOE  
6(3)  
A  
DTRA  
USAF

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6 B.1  
DTRA  
USAF

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

5

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
6/3  
4  
DTR  
4  
USA

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED



~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

7  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6(3)  
DTR  
4  
USA

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

2  
~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
6/3  
&  
DTA  
&  
USA

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

6  
~~SECRET~~

DOE  
6/3  
DTR  
USA

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

10

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
6 (3) §  
DTRA §  
USAF

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX K**  
**SYSTEMS ENGINEERING WORKING GROUP REPORT**

---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

Sandia National Laboratories  
Livermore, California 94551-0969

date: 18 November 1994

to: Mr. Keith Baird  
HPRF Study Director  
Weapons Management Branch  
SA-ALC NWIW  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5617

SAF  
b(1)

subject: SEWG Meeting Minutes for 16 November 1994 (u)

The eleventh general SEWG meeting was held at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. The minutes of the meeting follow.

Agenda

- New Mexico design team update:
- California design team update:
- Review candidate attributes chart
- Review drafts of introduction and summary
- Review other chapter detailed outlines
- Review schedule

General Issues -- J.R. Hogan

The design team continue to wrap up the details of their respective candidates. At this time there are no identifiable obstacles that would preclude meeting the working group deadline of producing a draft systems engineering report by mid January 1995. The proposed schedule for the report writing and editorial responsibilities are attached.

The benefits of including a "candidate attributes chart" which summaries the key features of each of the designs was discussed and approved. The list of key features was developed and a draft prepared. In addition to the chart a one page narrative for each of the candidates was recommended and approved. The individual design teams are responsible for their candidate narratives, The SEWG will coordinate the naming convention for the candidates.

The editors were reminded that paragraph marking is required. Additional guidance/primer on classification for HPRF topics is being prepared and will be distributed as soon as available.

DOE  
b(3) §  
USAF

NM design team -- J. L. Adams

The engineering effort is proceeding on schedule. The status of the NM-3 design status was presented. Details of the mounting and support features were described.

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~*SEWG Peer Review -- J.R. Hogan*

The peer review process is underway. Design information has been exchanged. Once the critiques and rebuttals have been submitted, a presentation to the SEWG and SWG chairs will be arranged. The results and design information will be included in the SEWG report.

*Mk5 Platform Considerations*USAF  
b(1)DOE  
b(3)*Integration issues -- C. DeJong*

- Assessment of HPRF in Mk5 reentry body has not been coordinated with the Navy.
- Mk5 shell is similar in size and dimensions to Mk21. By similarity, HPRF candidates for Mk21 may have potential to fit in Mk5 volume.
- Selected ground rules from SLBM Phase 2:
  - No changes to missile/RBA physical and functional interfaces
  - No new, unique electrical signals from missile to RBA
  - Existing RB flight data cannot be invalidated (weight, cg limits, potential to relax for HPRF)
  - Mk5 AF&F shall be retained
- Some issues for Mk5 packaging:
  - Extent of weight and cg relaxation from Phase 2 limits (will be limited by missile or RBA structure and control issues)
  - Missile impacts due to limited HPRF loadouts
  - Compatibility with release assembly and deployment mechanisms
  - Unique Mk5 STS normal environments

USAF  
b(1)~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

Interdepartmental letterhead

Mail Station L-22

Ext: 30671

Document Number: COPD-94- 80

This document consists of 1 page.

MEMORANDUM

November 22, 1994

TO: Keith Baird  
FROM: Joe Sefcik  
SUBJECT: Paragraph for Navy response (u)

DOE  
6(3)  
DTRA  
USA

Distribution:  
Keith Baird, LANL  
Joe Sefcik  
Master Copy

Derivative Classifier:  
Joseph A. Sefcik  
Program Manager  
A Division

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~  
This document contains Restricted Data  
subject to the Atomic Energy Act of  
1954. Unauthorized disclosure subject to  
Administrative and Criminal Sanctions.



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

COPD-94-30 N1105220  
N 29-NOV-94 10:33 DA 0262 NUC SYS ENGINEERING



~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
2F  
7  
DTR  
#  
U  
USA

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

c

DOE  
6(3)  
·  
DTRA  
US AF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
§  
STR  
§  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

DTRA 1/3 USAF  
6 DOE R

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
FOI &  
DTRA &  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX L**  
**VULNERABILITY WORKING GROUP REPORT**

---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

HPRF Phase 2 Study

Vulnerability Working Group (VWG) Notes,

15-16 November 1994 (U)

(U) The Vulnerability Working Group (VWG) of the HPRF Phase 2 study met on Tuesday 15 November 1994 at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL).

USAF  
b(1)

(U) The study chairman, Keith Baird of SA-ALC/NWI, addressed the group and outlined the objectives which he wanted to achieve. Keith stressed the importance of obtaining VWG consensus on the warhead output characteristics required to achieve the desired effectiveness. He also emphasized the need to obtain VWG consensus on the conclusions which can be drawn from the test data base for target systems. Keith noted that he requires a final report input from the VWG by mid-January 1995. There will be a general meeting in mid-February to review the draft final report which is scheduled for completion by mid-March 1995.

DOE  
b(3) &  
DTRA  
&  
USAF

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

?  
DOE  
b (3) §  
DTRA  
§  
USAF

~~SECRET~~ Capt John Warzinski discussed the probabilistic nature of the decision making process and uncertainties in relation to the HPRF Phase 2 study.

DOE  
b (3) §  
DTRA

USAF

DOE  
b (3) §  
DTRA  
DOE  
b (3) §  
DTRA

~~SECRET~~ Dr. Mike Bernardin of LANL proposed a methodology for evaluating the candidate warheads based on test data.

DOE  
b (3) §  
DTRA  
§  
USAF

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

RA

DOE  
4(3)  
f  
USAF

DOE  
b(3)  
f  
USAF

This led to a discussion of the overall schedule. The HPRF study director requires inputs by 15 January 1995 but the work yet to be done by Bernardin and Kaufman will still be in process. It was agreed that portions of the initial report input will be incomplete pending the results of work which is still underway.

DOE  
b(3)  
f  
USAF

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

*LOAN*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE

6 (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*18-00000*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b (3)  
1  
2  
1  
USAF

~~SECRET~~  
~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *SECRET*

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*SECRET*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *handwritten scribble*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*handwritten scribble*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *[Handwritten signature]*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED *[Handwritten signature]*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

*WVWV*

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*WVWV*

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**APPENDIX M**  
**MISSION ANALYSIS WORKING GROUP REPORT**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

(U) The Mission Analysis Working Group (MAWG) met on 16 November 1994 at LLNL.

USAF

(U) Mr. Keith Baird, the HPRF Phase 2 study director reminded the MAWG that he requires report inputs by 15 January 1995. He noted that there will be an opportunity to revise and update the report before the draft is distributed for review on 15 February 1995.

USAF

DOE  
- b(3)  $\frac{1}{2}$   
- DTRA

DOE  
b(3)  $\frac{1}{2}$   
DTRA  
 $\frac{1}{2}$   
USAF

~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

US, AF b(1)

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3),  
- DTRA

- USAF

- DTRA  
‡  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*MAA*  
SECRET

ISAF  
b(1)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~DTR~~

DOE  
b(3)

~~DTR~~

~~DTR~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

AF

DOE  
b(3) &  
DTRA

The other action item was to convene a teleconference of the MAWG around 15 January 1995 to review progress. The next study group meeting will be in mid-February 1995.

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*WASH*

UNCLASSIFIED

II

DI

DA  
4:

UNCLASSIFIED

*WASH*

DI

~~UNCLASSIFIED SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED SECRET~~

USAF

11

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE & IICAF

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

*[Handwritten signature]*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

20E  
6(B)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~



DI 100 1 2000

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*Handwritten scribble*

UNCLASSIFIED

II

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

*Handwritten scribble*

D1755

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *[Handwritten scribble]*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DO  
2/3  
€  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

*[Handwritten scribble]*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
§  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*Handwritten scribble*

USAF  $\frac{4}{7}$

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
2(3)  
⊥  
USAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

11/8/81  
11/8/81

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

DD  
4E  
US

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
L(3)  
§  
USAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

A  
E  
G

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *UNCLASSIFIED*  
UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
⊥  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

DOE  
b(3)  
f  
USAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

Doc  
23  
+  
USAF

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
E  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
#  
DOE  
b(3)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*WAA*

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
i  
DOE  
b(3)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
6  
DOE  
6 (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

SAF  
DOE  
(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USA  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

USAF  
&  
DOE  
b(3)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~TOP SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USA  
i  
DOI  
b3

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
f  
DOE  
b (3)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

USAF  
†  
DOE  
b(3)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
+  
DOE  
b(3)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

USAF.  
&  
DOE.  
b (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

*[Handwritten signature]*

USAF  
+  
DOE  
b(3)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
+  
DOE  
b (3)

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
+  
DOE  
2 (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

*SECRET*

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
E  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLAS ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

USAF  
&  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

USAF  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
&  
DOE  
2(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
§  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

UNCLASSIFIED ~~SECRET~~

USAF  
↓  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ *[Handwritten signature]*  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
F  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED  
*[Handwritten signature]*

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

VSAI  
f  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
↓  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAI  
&  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
1  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~SECRET~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

VSAF  
1  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
§  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

USAF  
f  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~ ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
&  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED  
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
f  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

UNCLASSIFIED

7

USAF  
+  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
DTIC  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
1  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF  
/  
DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

USAF

4

DO  
43

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
f  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
4  
USAF

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)  
E  
SAF

**UNCLASSIFIED**

b(3)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

ISA  
6  
DOE  
4(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE b(3) §  
11C & F

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

7

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE b(3) 4  
HCAE

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DO  
b(3)  
f  
V-20

UNCLASSIFIED

i

DOE  
b(3)  
1  
USA

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**DISTRIBUTION**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**(This page is intentionally left blank.)**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

#### DOD

#### COPY #

ATSD(AE)

ATTN: MAJ KIZIAH

PENTAGON ROOM 3C124

WASHINGTON DC 20301-3050

1

USSTRATCOM

ATTN: S GOOCH/J533, SUITE 2E9

ATTN: S LANGER/J5231, SUITE 2E10

ATTN: B NYE/J535, SUITE 2E10

901 SAC BOULEVARD

OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE NE 68113-6500

2

3

4

HQ DNA

ATTN: G BAIRD/RAES

ATTN: G BAKER/TAIC

6801 TELEGRAPH ROAD

ALEXANDRIA VA 22310-3398

5

6

FCDNA

ATTN: S LANGBEHN/FCPRA

ATTN: J WARZINSKI/FCPRA

1680 TEXAS STREET SE

KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NM 87117-5616

7

8

#### AIR FORCE

SAF/AQQS(N)

ATTN: W MULLINS

1060 AIR FORCE PENTAGON

WASHINGTON DC 20330-1060

9

HQ AFSPC

ATTN: J VALVERDE/DOXN

150 VANDENBERG STREET, SUITE 1105

PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE CO 80914-4120

10

SA-ALC

ATTN: K BAIRD/NWIW

ATTN: W BARRY/NWIO

ATTN: F CARRILLO/NWIW

1651 FIRST STREET SE

KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NM 87117-5617

11-15

16

17

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

#### AIR FORCE (Continued)

#### COPY #

OO-ALC

ATTN: D ERICKSEN/LMA  
6014 DOGWOOD AVENUE  
HILL AIR FORCE BASE UT 84056-5000

18

PL

ATTN: S GUTIERREZ/WSM  
ATTN: B PRATHER/WSR  
ATTN: S SLIVINSKY/VT-B  
ATTN: R TORRES/WSR  
ATTN: D VANVELDHUIZEN/WST  
3550 ABERDEEN STREET SE, B-909  
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NM 87117-5776

19

20

21

22

23

NAIC/TAC

ATTN: M BIERNESSER  
4115 HEBBLE CREEK ROAD, SUITE 25  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OH 45433-5629

24

HQ ACC/DRAN

ATTN: N LEWIS  
204 DODD BOULEVARD, SUITE 226  
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE VA 23665-2778

25

DET 10 SMC/VTY

ATTN: D SANDERS  
1111 EAST MILL STREET  
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92408-1621

26

#### ARMY

US ARMY ARDEC

ATTN: P ANGELOTTI/AMSTA-AR-FSS/SWS, B94  
ATTN: D HUIE/AMSTA-AR-FSA-M, B354  
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

27

28

ARL/AMSRL-WT-ND

ATTN: C KENYON  
2800 POWDER MILL ROAD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-2800

29

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

#### NAVY

#### COPY #

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
ATTN: B WOSOOGH/SSPO  
1931 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY  
ARLINGTON VA 22241-5362

30

#### DOE

DOE AL/VPD  
ATTN: D UMSHLER  
PO BOX 5400  
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-5400

31

US DOE/DP-12  
ATTN: A BAKER  
PO BOX A  
GERMANTOWN MD 20874-5000

32

#### LANL

ATTN: M BERNARDIN/X-5/MAIL STOP B259  
ATTN: K CALAHAN/AT-9/MAIL STOP H818  
ATTN: T EDDLEMAN/MAIL STOP F664  
ATTN: M HAERTLING/WX-1/MAIL STOP C936  
ATTN: C MANGENG/NWT/MAIL STOP F630  
ATTN: R MCFEE/MAIL STOP B220  
ATTN: T SCHEBER/NWT/MAIL STOP F630  
ATTN: E WHITTED/TSA-5/MAIL STOP F602  
MAIL STOP 5000 (CLASSIFIED)  
PO BOX 1663  
LOS ALAMOS NM 87545-2345

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

#### DOE (Continued)

#### COPY #

##### LLNL

ATTN: M BLAND/L-153/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94551-0808	41
ATTN: B CABAYAN/L-13/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94551-0808	42
ATTN: C CHOW/L-125/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94550-0808	43
ATTN: A KAUFMAN/L-85/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94550-0808	44
ATTN: M ONG/L-153/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94550-0808	45
ATTN: J SEFCIK/L-13/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94550-0808	46
ATTN: L SHAEFFER/L-313/PO BOX 808/LIVERMORE CA 94550-0808	47

##### SNL NM

ATTN: J ADAMS/2783/MAIL STOP 0628/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0482	48
ATTN: J CUDERMAN/5161/MAIL STOP 0482/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0482	49
ATTN: D FORDHAM/4113/MAIL STOP 0417/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0417	50
ATTN: L MOORE/5122/MAIL STOP 0486/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0486	51
ATTN: K OISHI/5161/MAIL STOP 0482/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0482	52
ATTN: J SOLBERG/2753/MAIL STOP 0865/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0865	53
ATTN: W TEDESCHI/5161/MAIL STOP 0482/PO BOX 5800/ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0482	54

##### SNL CA

ATTN: J HOGAN/5371/ MAIL STOP 9014 PO BOX 969 LIVERMORE CA 94550-0969	55
---	----

#### TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

##### LOGICON/RDA

ATTN: P CASTILLO PO BOX 9377 ALBUQUERQUE NM 87119-5000	56
--	----

##### KAMAN SCIENCES CORPORATION

ATTN: C DEJONG PO BOX 7463 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80933-7463	57
---	----

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MINUTES

HIGH POWER RADIO FREQUENCY PHASE 2 GENERAL MEETING 94-4 (#11)

17 NOVEMBER 1994

DISTRIBUTION LIST

### TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS (Continued)

### COPY #

LOGICON/RDA

ATTN: C MO

6053 WEST CENTURY BOULEVARD

PO BOX 92500

LOS ANGELES CA 90009-2500

58

TRW 953/1140

ATTN: M PAPAY

PO BOX 1310

SAN BERNARDINO CA 92402-1310

59

ORION INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED

ATTN: J M RAFFERTY

6501 AMERICAS PARKWAY NE, SUITE 200

ALBUQUERQUE NM 87110-5372

60

# UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

(This page is intentionally left blank.)

**UNCLASSIFIED**