

Redacted  
Version

~~SECRET~~

This document consists of 14 pages.  
No. 33 of 43 copies. Series A.

UNCLASSIFIED

LAMD-445 -1-

FAMILY COMMITTEE  
Minutes of Twenty-Fourth Meeting  
October 5, 1950

ADWD-197

SAA 20009 19000  
Unique Document #

A. Attendance.

The twenty-fourth meeting of the Family Committee was held Thursday, October 5, 1950 at 1:15 PM in Room B-117 and Friday, October 6, 1950 at 9:00 A.M. in Room G-246. Those present were

- |                |                     |
|----------------|---------------------|
| G. H. Best     | J. H. Manley        |
| N. E. Bradbury | D. P. MacDougall    |
| J. C. Clark    | J. C. Mark          |
| F. de Hoffmann | L. B. Seely         |
| D. K. Froman   | R. F. Taschek       |
| R. W. Goranson | E. Teller, Chairman |
| A. C. Graves   | J. L. Tuck          |
| G. K. Hess     | H. F. York          |
| M. G. Holloway |                     |

B. Minutes of the Previous Meeting.

The Committee unanimously adopted the minutes of the twenty-third meeting reported in ADWD-194.

C. Booster.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1ST REVIEW DATE: 8-7-77	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER(S))
AUTHORITY: OAC OAC BADD	1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED
NAME: [Signature]	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
2ND REVIEW DATE: 4/5/91	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: [Signature]	4. COORDINATE WITH:
NAME: [Signature]	5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
	7. OTHER (SPECIFY):

1201  
6.13

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

This RESTRICTED DATA  
This document contains Restricted data  
as defined in the Atomic Energy Act  
1954. It is authorized disclosure subject  
to Administrative and Criminal Sanctions.

Correction made on  
Page 3. 10-11-50 D. H.

CIDA

Table I shows the main items considered in this discussion and the relative weights assigned in favor or disfavor of the particular gadget. There follows below an elaboration on some of these points:



I  
DOE  
b(5)

2. This item concerns itself solely with the possibility that the assembly which is shot fails to operate; it does not concern itself with the question whether or not the observations have succeeded, the latter being treated under 3.

3. In assigning weights to this item, the discussion was influenced largely by the possibility that new experimental techniques might fail. However, the entire list of important experiments was considered. As a result, Table II was drawn up.




DOE  
b(5)




DOE  
b(5)

5. While the Committee did not feel that this was an important item in the comparison, a small weight was assigned in favor of the booster because of such possibilities as boosting of small implosion gadgets by DT for use as a weapon.



DOE  
b(5)

6. The Committee believed that the thermonuclear test program is likely to be a continuing one and that the development of observational techniques is an important item.




DOE  
b(5)

The Committee

-3- UNCLASSIFIED

then examined whether dropping the booster at this moment would substantially decrease the work of any one division. It was agreed that this would not be the case.]

It is recognized that the design of the booster will be frozen by November 1, 1950.



DOE  
b(3)

Holloway reported that in view of this, he would order the active material through the Director's Office and this was unanimously agreed to.



DOE  
b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

-4-

It was agreed that only the pin studies could satisfactorily resolve this question.

3. Detonation Time.

d. GMX and J Divisions will have the joint responsibility concerning the rigidity of the entire structure.

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DOE  
b(3)

DOE  
b(3)

DOE  
0-

f. York will not be asked to put a sylphon at the top of the X-ray tube.

g. No electrical contacts to check tightness of fit will be required.

DOE  
b(3)

It is hoped that GMX might better this 1-cm figure and MacDougall will report the result to the Committee at a later date.

DOE  
b(3)

[Redacted]

DOE  
6(2)

The rough estimates show that this is not likely to give trouble but it is believed that this point should be examined more carefully.

DOE  
6(2)

[Redacted]

The resultant increase in volume is quite small. It was agreed that T Division would inform the members of the Family Committee by Monday whether or not it would be advisable to move the bottom down by the one-half centimeter.

[Redacted]

DOE  
6(3)

I. X-Ray Experiment.

York reported that it was presently planned to close off the top of the vacuum tube by a 1/4 inch thick beryllium plate. If calculations and experiment prove that a thinner aluminum or magnesium plate will serve the same purpose, this may replace the beryllium.

[Redacted]

DOE  
6(3)

[Redacted]

DOE  
b(3)

It was agreed that there was no objection to such a scheme.

[Redacted]

DOE  
b(3)

ork and Teller agreed to provide CMR with a detailed design of the bottom plate by Monday, October 9th.

DOE  
b(3)

J. Scheduling.

[Redacted]

DOE  
b(3)

K. Next Meeting.

There will be no meeting on October 12th. There will be a meeting on October 19th.

## Distribution:

1A N. E. Bradbury  
2A W. C. Bright  
3A S. W. Burriss  
4A J. C. Clark  
5A D. K. Froman  
6A R. W. Goranson  
7A A. C. Graves  
8A G. K. Hess  
9A M. G. Holloway  
10A E. R. Jette  
11A " " "  
12A J. M. B. Kellogg  
13A J. L. Tuck  
14A D. P. MacDougall  
15A " " "  
16A D. P. MacMillan  
17A J. H. Manley  
18A J. C. Mark  
19A H. C. Paxton  
20A F. Reines  
21A " "  
22A " "  
23A A. R. Sayer  
24A R. F. Taschek  
25A E. Teller  
26A " "  
27A " "  
28A " "  
29A " "  
30A J. von Neumann  
31A J. A. Wheeler  
32A Document Room  
33A " "  
34A " "  
35A " "  
36A " "  
37A " "  
38A " "  
39A C. Longmire  
40A R. W. Spence  
41A A. C. Graves  
42A R. C. Smith  
43A W. E. Ogle  
44A E. Teller  
45A " "  
46A " "  
47A " "  
48A " "

DOE  
660

TABLE I

ITEM

- 1. Cheapness in terms of active materials only.
  - (a) Spring Tests Only
  - (b) Test Program within Foreseeable Future
- 2. Likelihood of unexpected phenomena causing failure of DT to detonate.
- 3. Possibility that experimental techniques fail and overall results are not obtained.
- 4. Comparison of Experimental results (assuming these are O.K.) with theory and their influence on future calculations and predictions.
- 5. Weapon interest per se other than eventual design of super.
- 6. Test of experimental techniques of observations on thermo-nuclear reactions.
- 7. Application of data from shot to future thermonuclear development.

TOTAL

TABLE II

<u>EXPERIMENT</u>
Yield
Alpha
Total 14 Mev Neutrons
DINEX-GANEX
X-Ray

DOE  
b(3)

LEGEND:

Case A: Assume all experiments up to and including the experiment under consideration have been successful but the experiments listed below it have failed. (For instance, when the alpha experiment is considered, its assumed yield and alpha are known but the rest have failed.)

DOE  
b(3)

Case B: Assume only the experiment under consideration is successful and all others have failed.

Case C: Assume experiment under consideration has failed but all others are successful.



DOE  
b(3)

~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

FIG 1

DOE  
b(2)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOJ  
b(3)

F/G2

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DOE  
b(3)

FIG. 3

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



DOE  
603

UNCLASSIFIED