

UNCLASSIFIED

LA-11616

This document consists of 50 pages

No. 29 of 103 copies, Series A

~~Nuclear Weapon Data
Sigma 1~~

~~Critical Nuclear Weapon
Design Information
DoD Directive 5210.2 Applies~~

~~SECRET/RO~~

SAC200164740000

LOS ALAMOS



01442088

The Role of Drive Symmetry
in ICF Capsule Performance:

Data and Analysis (U)

Jeffrey D. Colvin
William S. Varnum

June 30, 1989

LOS ALAMOS NATL. LAB. LIBS
3 9338 00328 4857

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

~~This document contains Restricted Data as
defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.
Unauthorized disclosure subject to
administrative and criminal sanctions.~~

~~Derivative Classifier
Phillip Lang
OS-6 Classification Analyst~~

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1st REVIEW DATE: 07/2/02	INTERIM DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER(S))
AUTHORITY: DC 03 DD	1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED
NAME: Mel Luter	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
2nd REVIEW DATE: 8/10/2010	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: DC	4. COORDINATE WITH:
NAME: Phil Lang	5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
	7. OTHER (SPECIFY):

Los Alamos Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545
Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for
the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7406-ENG-36.

~~SECRET SECRET /RD~~

UNCLASSIFIED

015A20C000132

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

*Edited by Patricia W. Mendius, Group IS-11
Prepared by Lucille Bustos, Group X-1*

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

NOTICE

*Reproduction of this document requires the written permission
of the originator, his successor, or higher authority.*

UNCLASSIFIED

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

~~SECRET~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 6

 A. Background 6

 B. Alternate Degradation Mechanisms 8

II. EVIDENCE FROM THE DATA 10

 A. The Double-Shell Capsule Experience 10

 B. The Single-Shell Capsule Experience 12

III. ANALYSIS 17

 A. 2-D Implosion Calculations 17

IV. DISCUSSION 34

 A. Mechanisms and Models 34

 B. Implications 42

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 44

REFERENCES 45

b(3)



UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/RD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

This page intentionally left blank

~~SECRET/RD~~ UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

LA-11616


June 30, 1989

THE ROLE OF DRIVE SYMMETRY IN ICF CAPSULE PERFORMANCE:
DATA AND ANALYSIS (U)

by

Jeffrey D. Colvin and William S. Varnum

ABSTRACT (SRD)



b(3)


We also discuss work in progress on mechanisms for drive asymmetry inducing performance degradation, including shear-induced Kelvin-Helmholtz instability growth and turbulence transport, and we also discuss the implications of our work on the directions of future research in ICF.

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background



b(3)


Typically, however, there is not agreement between observed and 1-D clean calculations of capsule performance. Indeed, performance and gain both decrease, in general, as absorbed energy decreases, as is shown in Fig. 1.



b(3)

Much work has gone into trying to understand this capsule performance degradation. The canonical viewpoint has been that hydrodynamic instabilities of one sort or another lead to turbulence and material mix that quenches or suppresses burn. The available data, however, have provided few clues as to the dominant mechanism or mechanisms that are at work, let alone what seeds instability growth at these scales.

The significance of radiation drive asymmetry (i.e., radiation flux nonuniformity around the capsule) as a possible contribution to degradation was recognized early on. Spillman¹ drew attention to the need for 2-D radiation transport calculations for the design of small capsules in large hohlraums. Varnum² considered the effects of radiation transit time delays on small capsule symmetry.




b(3)

~~SECRET SECRET / RD~~


LA-11616

June 30, 1989

UNCLASSIFIED



b(3)



b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~(SECRET) RD~~

[Redacted]

b(3)

This led us erroneously, we now think to search for 1-D degradation mechanisms, i.e., acceleration-induced instabilities (like Rayleigh-Taylor) that can be modeled in one dimension.

B. Alternate Degradation Mechanisms

Several postprocessor codes based on modeling Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities have been developed over the years.

[Redacted]

b(3)

These codes have been singularly unsuccessful in predicting several crucial experiments.

[Redacted]

b(3)

In this case, the growth of the mixed regions proceeded with no memory of the original perturbations, just as in the British rocket rig experiments⁵ where measurements have been taken on the growth of the mix layer at accelerated liquid-liquid and liquid-gas interfaces. Here again, the mix penetration depth does not depend significantly on the amplitude of the initial perturbations on the interface but only on the acceleration history of the interface.

[Redacted]

b(3)

b(3)

b(5)

b(5)

b(3)

have investigated this specific hypothesis.

Several controlled experiments


b(3)

b(3)

In summary, then, no 1-D model has yet been successful in providing a satisfactory picture of ICF capsule performance. We hypothesize that radiation drive asymmetry induces shear-driven hydrodynamic instabilities which dominate the degradation in small capsules. We discuss in this report the experimental and calculational evidence that supports this hypothesis.

II. EVIDENCE FROM THE DATA

A. The Double-Shell Capsule Experience



b(5)

b(5)

b(5)

b(5)

The results, however, were disappointing.


UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET/AD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

11


UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/AD~~



b(3)


This anomaly has made it difficult to draw from this experiment an unambiguous conclusion concerning temperature dependence of symmetry and its effect on capsule performance.



b(3)


b(3)

There seems to be a very clear correlation between performance and symmetry, except for the anomaly already noted.




b(3)

B. The Single-Shell Capsule Experience



b(3)



b(3)


b(s)

~~SECRET~~/RD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

UNCLASSIFIED



b(3)



14

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED ~~SECRET~~/RD

[Redacted]

b(3)

b(3)

These data are, on their face, very compelling evidence for a link between drive symmetry and capsule performance.


b(3)

There are, however, some things that inject some ambiguity into our interpretation.

b(3)


[Redacted]

b(3)



b(3)

b(3)



b(5)

b(5)

All


the capsule data, however, are consistent with this view.

b(3)



This result is true even for symmetric capsules, suggesting that energy exchange processes that are column density (ρr) dependent are perhaps not modeled correctly in LASNEX.

b(5)



Thus, we infer from the data that symmetry is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for good capsule performance.

III. ANALYSIS

A. 2-D Implosion Calculations




b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED


~~SECRET~~ / RD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)




b(3)

~~SECRET~~ / RD UNCLASSIFIED


LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(1)

We address the first question in this sub-section.



b(1)


- 2

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ /RD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

20

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~ /RD


UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ AD

June 30, 1989

LA-11616




b(3)

b(3)

21

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ AD




b(3)


b(3)

This noise is no longer introduced at higher temperatures, but if it is at first introduced at lower temperatures it will grow with time because of the spherical convergence and Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities. Furthermore, the 2-D implosion calculations show that the fuel cavity asymmetry is approximately the same at equivalent times in the implosion (at least at early times) whether the drive asymmetry is imposed only on the foot of the pulse or only on the main pulse.

b(3)



It is clear that an imposed percentage drive asymmetry only on the foot of the pulse has a comparable effect on the implosion symmetry to the same drive asymmetry imposed only on the main pulse. If the drive asymmetry is imposed on the whole pulse, the resulting fuel cavity asymmetry is larger.



b(3)


~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET/AD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

UNCLASSIFIED



b(3)

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED


~~SECRET/AD~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/AD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

~~SECRET/AD~~


UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ RD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

25

UNCLASSIFIED


~~SECRET~~ RD

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET / RD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989




- b(3)


b(3)

~~SECRET / RD~~

UNCLASSIFIED



As the center of the fuel heats up just before ignition, the electron thermal conduction tends to symmetrize the hot spot. By ignition time, the hot spot is a spherical volume embedded inside the distorted fuel volume. Thus, the different hot spot formations in the equator-hot and pole-hot cases probably do not have any effect on capsule performance. The larger effect on capsule performance is likely to result from the different fuel cavity distortions produced in the two cases. We have found that equator-hot drive asymmetries produce greater fuel cavity distortion than do pole-hot drive asymmetries. We have also found that early-time drive asymmetries are as deleterious to implosion symmetry as late-time drive asymmetries.




UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET /RD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

~~SECRET /RD~~

~~SECRET /RD~~


UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b2

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

[Redacted]

b(3)

The pressure-driven LASNEX calculations give a result intermediate between these two.

[Redacted]

b(3)

In addition, the pressure-driven calculations do not account for the geometrical smoothing that takes place for a radiation drive.

[Redacted]

b(3)

[Redacted]

b(3)

[Redacted]

b(3)

Accordingly, we do this problem in two steps.

[Redacted]

b(3)

[Redacted] b(3)

Figure 15 is a schematic representation of how Hoffman's viewfactor calculation is done.

[Redacted] b(3)

Account is taken of the different photon flight times to the capsule from different places on the wall, so that the flux arriving at a point on the capsule surface at a given time originated at different times from different surface zones. This integration is done as a function of latitude. The flux at the capsule surface is then decomposed into its Legendre components.

[Redacted] b(3)

Furthermore, these calculations assume an infinitesimal capsule size, so account is not taken of the variation in the viewfactors seen from an expanding capsule surface (the asymmetries are imposed at the capsule surface in the implosion calculations discussed in the preceding section).

[Large Redacted Area] b(3)

This asynchrony is equivalent to a P_1 flux asymmetry at the capsule. This P_1 asymmetry was calculated in a manner very similar to that described above.


[Redacted] b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

LA-11616

~~SECRET/RD~~

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED


~~SECRET/RD~~
~~SECRET/RD~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/BD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

33


~~SECRET/BD~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ /RD

June 30, 1989

LA-11616



b(3)

b(3)

~~SECRET~~ /RD

~~SECRET~~ /RD


UNCLASSIFIED

June 30, 1989

LA-11616

b(3)


The results of both these calculations were then used as input to the viewfactor postprocessor. The result is shown in Fig. 18.



b(3)

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Mechanisms and Models




b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/RD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)

b(3)

~~SECRET/RD~~

~~SECRET/RD~~


UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/AD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



b(3)


We do not know, however, what the mechanism is for drive asymmetries inducing turbulence, mix, and hence performance degradation. This is the major missing piece in support of our contention that shear-induced instabilities, rather than acceleration-induced instabilities, are the dominant cause of performance degradation in ICF capsules. Some work is now being expended in understanding and evaluating various mechanisms and developing models; this work will be the subject of a future report.



b(3)

In any model of mix and degradation based on acceleration-induced instabilities, most of the instability growth and mix at the fuel/pusher interface occurs during the time when the compressionally-heated, lower-density fuel decelerates the colder, higher-density pusher. Thus, the amount of instability growth and mix is roughly proportional to the distance the fall-line moves in the time from peak pusher velocity to stagnation. The fall-line is the trajectory the fuel/pusher interface would follow if it were to continue moving inward at its peak velocity.

b(3)



b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED


~~SECRET/AD~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/RD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989





b(3)

b(3)

~~SECRET/RD~~
~~SECRET/RD~~

UNCLASSIFIED






b(3)

b(3)

b(3)

The evidence from the data in support of this hypothesis is, as we have already shown, very compelling. Unlike the situation for acceleration-induced instabilities, however, we do not have calculational models to bring to bear on this question and to produce performance predictions that we can test with experiment. Thus, we do not yet have a complete and fully self-consistent picture of ICF capsule performance. This is a serious deficiency, and we hope that more future resources of the ICF research effort can be devoted to removing this deficiency. Nevertheless, we feel much like Richard Feynman did in describing his quantum-mechanical model for hadron-hadron collisions: "I am more sure of the conclusions," wrote Feynman²³, "than of any single argument which suggested them to me."


What are the mechanisms then, that cause instabilities, turbulence, and/or mix- and hence performance-degradation from drive asymmetries? One possibility is that momentum exchange of material in the lateral direction at the sheared interface leads to an enhancement of the collisional mean free path in the radial direction, as in Kelvin-Helmholtz instability growth



b(3)

In addition, turbulent flow will alter the transport properties of the plasma, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 21.

In short, turbulence leads to "spoilage" of the hot spot and an enhanced transport of heat out of the fuel. Thus, in a complete turbulence transport model one must account for momentum, heat, and mass transfer effects.



b(3)

All of this work will be discussed in a future report. Until then we ask only that the reader not dismiss our hypothesis




Fig. 21. A schematic representation of the mechanisms for turbulence-induced performance degradation.

as having no merit, even though it is as yet unproven, until all the evidence is in from these model calculations.

B. Implications

If our hypothesis is indeed correct, and shear-induced rather than acceleration-induced instabilities are responsible for observed ICF capsule performance degradation, then this is good news for ICF. It means that the source of degradation is extrinsic rather than intrinsic to the capsule, and can potentially be eliminated, opening the way for as calculated high-gain performance at low energy. The surprising result from this study, however, is that the sensitivity of capsule performance to drive asymmetries is much greater than we had thought previously.

[Redacted]

b(3)

b(3)

[We have already discussed these calculational shortcomings and uncertainties in Sec. III. These calculational uncertainties make it virtually impossible for us at this time to extrapolate these results with any confidence to other parameter regimes. In particular, we do not know what the symmetry requirements are for reactor-scale capsules that have higher convergence ratios at lower absorbed energy (≤ 10 MJ); they may indeed be more severe.

b(3)

[With future laser drivers for ICF, peak driving temperatures attainable in laser-driven hohlraums will be ≤ 250 eV. We have no information on the effects of peak drive temperature on implosion symmetry. These are all issues that must be resolved before we can confidently specify driver requirements for ICF.

Resolving these issues will take a broad-based calculational and experimental approach. As for experiments, we must first do a carefully controlled symmetry experiment to test our hypothesis that drive asymmetries are the principal cause of performance degradation.

[Redacted]

b(3)

b(3)

[Hence, we need to do good numerical experiments as well. To do these numerical experiments requires, in turn, further developments in our calcula-

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/AD~~

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

tional tools.

[redacted] b(3)

[redacted] We need to verify that 2-D Lagrangian hydrodynamics with nonuniform angular zoning is being done correctly; if not, we must find a way to do it correctly. Further improvements are needed in the viewfactor code. More development is required of the turbulence models, and a better understanding in general of the interplay between shear-induced instabilities and turbulence.

[redacted] b(3)

In conclusion, although we are still far from our goal of having a complete enough understanding of capsule physics to have confidence that ICF will work in the parameter regimes we wish it to, we think this work represents an important step forward in our understanding. We hope that it will inspire the efforts that are needed to get us to our goal.

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET/AD~~

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

[Redacted]

b(3)

We have also benefited greatly from discussions with Tony Baxter, Peter Roberts, Richard Wright, and David Youngs at the Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) in the U.K. Indeed, many of the calculations that are discussed in this report were in fact done by some of these people. We have, for the most part, acknowledged their contributions at the appropriate places in the text of the report. We regret if we have inadvertently failed to acknowledge any particular individual contribution, and express, nonetheless, our appreciation of it.

The train of inductive reasoning, and the symmetry hypothesis that results from it, are entirely our own; we accept full responsibility for any flaws in this logic or in our arguments, as presented in this report. We also make no claims that the views presented in this report are anything other than our own. Indeed, many of our colleagues, whose works have contributed to this report, do not share our viewpoint on ICF capsule performance degradation. We present this work, then, with the full knowledge that the conclusions we induce from it are still controversial, and go against the prevailing mindset in the ICF community.

[Redacted]

b(3)

REFERENCES

1. [Redacted]

b(3)

2. Varnum, W. S., "Effects of Transit Time Delays on Small Capsule Symmetry," Los Alamos National Laboratory Memorandum X-1-80-26, August 1980 (SRD).

3. [Redacted]
4. [Redacted]

b(3)

5. Youngs, D. L., "Numerical Simulation of Turbulent Mixing by Rayleigh-Taylor Instability," *Physica 12D*, 32-44, 1984; Reed, K. I., "Experimental Investigation of Turbulent Mixing by Rayleigh-Taylor Instability," *Physica 12D*, 45-58, 1984.

6. [Redacted]

b(3)

7. Cranfill, C. W., W. P. Crowley, D. L. Youngs, P. E. Stry, "Comparative Analysis of TP-22 Results," in *Proc. of JOWOG-42 Meeting (May 9-13, 1988)*, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report, in press, 1989 (SRD).

8. Colvin, J. D., "Instability Analysis of Experiments," paper presented at JOWOG-37 Meeting, A.W.E., Aldermaston, U.K., 1984; Los Alamos National Laboratory Technical Memo X-1-84-14, 1984 (SRD).

9. [Redacted]

b(3)

10. Haan, S. W., "The Onset of Nonlinear Saturation for Rayleigh-Taylor Growth in the Presence of a Full Spectrum of Modes," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCRL 98206, June 1988 (U); to appear in *Phys. Rev. A*.

11. [Redacted]

b(3)

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

12.

13.

14.

15.

b(3)

16. Wright, R., private communication, Atomic Weapons Establishment, 1985.

17.

18.

19.

b(3)

20. Fisher, H. N., Section 4 of "ICF Monthly Activities Report," Los Alamos National Laboratory Document DCC:85-011, November/December 1984 (SRD).

21.

b(3)

22. Weber, S. V., private communication, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1988.

23. Feynman, R. P., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 23, 1415, 1969.

24.

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ RD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989
Distribution

DISTRIBUTION

COPY NUMBER

U.S. Department of Energy
Library, MA-4223, Room G-042 (GTN) (3 copies)
For: C. Hilland, DP-232, GTN
Washington, DC 20545
Attn: Transfer Accountability Station

1A-4A

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P. O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Attn: Weapon Data Index

5A

U. S. Department of Energy
Albuquerque Operations Office
Attn: TA Station
P. O. Box 5400
Albuquerque, NM 87115

6A

Los Alamos National Laboratory
Attn: Report Library (10 copies)
Mail Station 5000
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545

7A-71A

For: S. S. Hecker, DIR, MS A100
W. F. Miller, DDM, MS A104
J. C. Browne, ADDRA, MS A110
J. H. Birely, ADNWT, MS A105
C. A. Fenstermacher, DDB, MS A102
J. C. Hopkins, ADAL, MS A112
M. Henderson, DADNWT, MS A105
D. C. Wolkerstorfer, X-DO, MS B218
H. H. Rogers, X-DO, MS B218
D. B. Giovanielli, P-DO, MS D437
D. C. Cartwright, DDB-ICF, MS E527
T. E. McDonald, DDB-ICF, MS E527
D. C. Wilson, X-1, MS E531

UNCLASSIFIED

47

~~SECRET~~ RD

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ RD

LA-11616
Distribution

June 30, 1989

S. V. Coggeshall, X-1, MS E531
J. D. Colvin, X-1, MS E531
M. Cray, X-1, MS E531
H. N. Fisher, X-1, MS E531
G. S. Fraley, X-1, MS E531
N. M. Hoffman, X-1, MS E531
R. D. Jones, X-1, MS E531
R. C. Kirkpatrick, X-1, MS E531
G. R. Magelssen, X-1, MS E531
W. C. Mead, X-1, MS E531
W. S. Varnum, X-1, MS E531
C. A. Wingate, X-1, MS E531
J. M. Wallace, X-1, MS E531
C. A. Lemons, X-1 File, MS E531
P. J. Adams, X-2, MS B220
S. J. Hansen, X-2, MS B220
J. Mercer-Smith, X-2, MS B220
M. M. Wood, X-2, MS B220
A. J. Scannapieco, X-4, MS F664
J. L. Richter, X-5, MS F669
M. Clover, X-7, MS B257
E. J. Linnebur, X-7, MS B257
L. R. Foreman, MST-7, MS E549
W. C. Anderson, P-DO, MS D408
A. Hauer, P-4, MS E554
R. H. Day, P-14, MS D410
R. H. Blake, P-14, MS D410
R. G. Hockaday, P-14, MS D410
E. W. Bennett, P-14, MS D410
N. S. P. King, P-15, MS D406
R. B. Walton, P-15, MS D406
M. L. Stelts, P-15, MS D406
R. Haight, P-15, MS D406
H. Ruppel/E. D. Arthur, T-DO, MS B210
J. C. Solem, T-DO, MS B210
J. W. Hopson, T-3, MS B216
N. L. Johnson, T-3, MS B216

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ RD

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ RD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989
Distribution

F. H. Harlow, T-3, MS B216
S. A. Colgate, T-6, MS B275
R. Menikoff, T-14, MS B214
G. G. Hill/L. F. Luehring, WX-DO, MS P945
M. E. Kenyon, WX-1, MS C936

Sandia National Laboratories
Attn: Mail Services Section
P. O. Box 5800
Albuquerque, NM 87185

72A-77A

For: Technical Library
G. Allshouse, Org. 1265, MS A904
P. Mix, Org. 1264, MS A904
F. Perry, Org. 1263, MS A904
J. P. Vandevender, Org. 1200, MS A904
M. Widner, Org. 1601, MS A904

University of California
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Attn: Technical Information Department
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

78A-92A

For: Library Reports Section (2 copies)
R. Bangerter, L-477
T. Bernat, LLNL, L-482
T. R. Dittrich, L-477
S. W. Haan, L-477
L. Lindl, LLNL, L-477
W. V. Morgan, L-41
J. H. Nuckolls, L-1
S. Pollaine, L-477
E. Storm, L-481
P. Stry, L-13
M. Tabak, L-477
S. Weber, L-477
J. Woodworth, L-482

Director
Defense Nuclear Agency
Attn: SSAB (2 copies)
Washington, DC 20305

93A-94A

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~ RD

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET / RD

June 30, 1989

LA-11616
Distribution

FCDNA/FCSAC
Attn: Bettye J. Garbutt (2 copies)
Kirtland AFB, NM 87115-5000

95A-96A

AFWL/DAA
Kirtland ABF, NM 87117-6008
For: SUL

97A

Chief
Joint Atomic Information Exchange Group
6801 Telegraph Rd
Alexandria, VA 22310-3398
For: D. K. Hymes, Chief
P. Roberts, AWE
R. Wright, AWE
A. Baxter, AWE
(JOWOG no. 37)

98A-101A

US Department of Energy
Attn: John J. Fogarty
DP 242.3 (1 copy)
P. O. Box A
Germantown, MD 20874

102A

Laboratory for Laser Energetics
University of Rochester
For: R. McCrory
Rochester, N. Y.

103A

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET / RD